

**Exhibit A**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

IHOP IP, LLC et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	Case No.: 11-0548-CV-W-FJG
INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PRAYER	)	
et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, IHOP IP, LLC and International House of Pancakes, LLC (collectively "IHOP"),  
by and through its attorneys of record, allege as follows:

**Parties**

1. Plaintiff IHOP IP, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 450 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, California 91203.

2. Plaintiff International House of Pancakes, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 450 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, California 91203.

3. Upon information and belief, defendants International House of Prayer, Friends of the Bridegroom, Inc. (also d/b/a IHOP, IHOP Missions Base, IHOP-KC, International House of Prayer University, and IHOPU), and Shiloh Ministries, Inc. are all non-profit corporations organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri, with their principal place of

business at 3535 East Red Bridge Road, Kansas City, Missouri 64137 (collectively "House of Prayer Kansas City").

4. Upon information and belief, defendant The Prayer Furnace, Inc., doing business as International House of Prayer East Bay and IHOP East Bay, is a non-profit corporation, with its principal place of business at 7485 Village Parkway, Dublin, California 94568 ("House of Prayer East Bay").

5. Upon information and belief, Mike Bickle is an individual having a physical address of 3535 E. Red Bridge Rd., Kansas City, Missouri 64137 ("Bickle") and is the president of House of Prayer Kansas City.

#### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

6. This is an action arising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq; accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and (b). Supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over House of Prayer Kansas City because it conducts business, has and continues to commit acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition, and has contributed to or induced acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition by others in this judicial district (and elsewhere in Missouri and in the United States).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over House of Prayer East Bay because it has and continues to commit acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition, and has contributed to or induced acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition by others in this judicial district (or elsewhere in Missouri and in the United States).

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Bickle because he conducts business, has and continues to commit acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition, and has contributed to or induced acts of dilution, trademark infringement, or unfair competition by others in this judicial district (or elsewhere in Missouri and in the United States).

10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) because, among other reasons, the defendants are each subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred here.

### **Alleged Facts**

11. The first International House of Pancakes restaurant opened in Toluca Lake, California in 1958. In 1960, the company began to expand through franchising. IHOP began using "IHOP" as a trademark/service mark at least as early as 1973.

12. IHOP grew and prospered. In 1992 the 500<sup>th</sup> IHOP restaurant opened, and one year later, sales per IHOP restaurant exceeded \$1 million. In 1998, IHOP's system-wide sales reached \$1 billion. IHOP has continuously used the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES, IHOP, and other IHOP-based trademarks, service marks, and trade names in commerce throughout the United States since their respective dates of first use. IHOP's use of its marks and trade names has also been substantially exclusive.

13. Presently there are 1,500 IHOP restaurants in the United States, including at least one in every state. There are currently 45 IHOP restaurants in Missouri.

14. Many IHOP restaurants are open 24 hours a day, seven days a week and are operated by independent small business owners who rely heavily on the reputation and strength of the various IHOP-based trademarks, service marks, and trade names for their businesses.

15. Plaintiff IHOP IP, LLC owns all the rights, including those based in common law and conferred through registration, in a family of IHOP-related trademarks, service marks, and trade names (collectively the "IHOP Marks"). Several of the IHOP Marks have been registered with the United States Trademark Office, including U.S. Reg. No. 3,429,406 IHOP for restaurant services; U.S. Reg. No. 3,514,724 INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES for restaurant services, carry out food services; U.S. Reg. No. 3,743,560 IHOP FOR ME for restaurant services; U.S. Reg. No. 3,731,730 IHOP CAFE for cafe and restaurant services, carry-out cafe and restaurant services, coffee house services; U.S. Reg. No.: 3,616,420 IHOP 'N GO for restaurant services, take out restaurant services; and U.S. Reg. No.: 3,771,927 IHOP EXPRESS for restaurant and food take-out restaurant services. True and correct copies of the registration certificates for the IHOP Marks listed above are attached as Exhibit A. The IHOP Marks also include IHOP U and IHOP U (and design) for restaurant services.

16. International House of Pancakes, LLC and other related entities owned and/or controlled by IHOP's ultimate parent organization, DineEquity, Inc., have the right to use the IHOP Marks under a license arrangement.

17. Over the years, IHOP has expended substantial resources to extensively advertise and publicize the IHOP Marks throughout the United States. The IHOP Marks are featured on distinctive landmark signage and in menus and other materials at all IHOP locations. In addition to traditional media outlets such as print, television, and radio, IHOP uses websites, such as [www.ihop.com](http://www.ihop.com), and social media outlets, such as facebook, to promote and provide its family-oriented services and goods under the IHOP Marks in advertisements, articles, interactive web pages, videos, and the like.

18. Each of the IHOP Marks is distinctive, strong, and widely recognized among the general consuming public as a designation of goods and services originating with, sponsored by, approved by, or affiliated with IHOP.

19. The IHOP and INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES marks have been famous since prior to defendants' first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof.

20. Upon information and belief, House of Prayer Kansas City selected and adopted the names "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," "IHOP-KC," "International House of Prayer University," and "IHOPU" to refer to House of Prayer Kansas City's evangelical missions organization and the goods and services it provides, all under Bickle's direction.

21. At the time House of Prayer Kansas City adopted the names "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof, House of Prayer Kansas City was at least aware of plaintiffs' INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

22. House of Prayer Kansas City provides goods and services at its physical locations in this judicial district, through the internet and other social media outlets, and at various training programs, workshops, regional camps, and leadership retreats.

23. House of Prayer Kansas City has used the name "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof on or in, among other things, signage, literature, websites, domain names, social media outlets, and ancillary products. Attached hereto as Exhibit B are true and correct copies of photographs of House of Prayer Kansas City's signage.

24. House of Prayer Kansas City operates International House of Prayer University (also referred to as IHOPU). House of Prayer Kansas City's IHOPU is an evangelical missions-

based school in which approximately 600 full time students are enrolled. IHOPU also offers an e-school and online courses to educate persons worldwide.

25. House of Prayer Kansas City operates a web store that offers for sale books, teaching materials, music, DVDs, and other ancillary products (t-shirts, sweatshirts, water bottles, a board game, etc.) under the marks "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof to persons worldwide.

26. House of Prayer Kansas City also operates at least two coffee shops/cafés that serve food and beverages; one at its main facility in Kansas City and one on its IHOPU campus.

27. House of Prayer Kansas City routinely uses "IHOP," "IHOP-KC," or "IHOPU" to refer to its services and on its products.

28. Several persons have either been confused by House of Prayer's use of "IHOP" or felt the need to clarify whether the "IHOP" reference was to House of Prayer Kansas City or plaintiffs.

29. Upon information and belief, House of Prayer Kansas City began using "International House of Prayer" and "IHOP" with the intent to trade on the goodwill in and/or fame, distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP Marks.

30. House of Prayer Kansas City does not and has never had permission to use the IHOP Marks or any name confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks.

31. Upon information and belief, House of Prayer Kansas City is affiliated with, sponsors, or endorses numerous other evangelical missions organizations around the world, including defendant House of Prayer East Bay, that use the names "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof to identify themselves.

32. House of Prayer East Bay has a physical location in Dublin, California, was established in September of 2006, and offers and provides evangelical missions services at its physical location and over the internet through its website at [www.ihopeastbay.org](http://www.ihopeastbay.org) and other social media under the "International House of Prayer East Bay" and "IHOP East Bay" names. A sample web page is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

33. Upon information and belief, House of Prayer East Bay is affiliated with House of Prayer Kansas City and has what its director refers to as strategic connections with those leading 24/7 prayer rooms in Kansas City.

34. House of Prayer East Bay's website is interactive in that it invites internet browsers, including, upon information and belief, those located in this judicial district, to subscribe to House of Prayer East Bay's mailing list and interact with its social media outlets. House of Prayer East Bay's website also provides information on how to submit donations and includes "Teaching and Notes" audio files that are available to download.

35. House of Prayer East Bay has used the name "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof on, among other things, websites and social media, but it does not and has never had permission to use the IHOP Marks or any name confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks.

36. Upon information and belief, at the time House of Prayer East Bay adopted the names "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof, House of Prayer East Bay was at least aware of plaintiffs' INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

37. Upon information and belief, House of Prayer East Bay began using "International House of Prayer" and "IHOP" with the intent to trade on the goodwill in and/or fame, distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP Marks.

38. Bickle has used the name "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof on or in, among other things, websites and domain names, to promote his evangelical services.

39. At the time Bickle adopted the names "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof, Bickle was at least aware of plaintiffs' INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

40. Upon information and belief, Bickle began using "International House of Prayer" and "IHOP" with the intent to trade on the goodwill in and/or fame, distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP Marks.

41. Bickle does not and has never had permission to use the IHOP Marks or any name confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks.

42. The defendants' use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof has confused or deceived or is likely to confuse or deceive the public and, therefore, is infringing IHOP's federal and common law rights in the IHOP Marks.

43. The defendants' use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof has diluted or is likely to dilute the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks and, therefore, has violated IHOP's federal and common law rights in those marks.

44. Defendants' infringing and diluting use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof has damaged and caused irreparable harm to IHOP and will



continue to damage and cause irreparable harm to IHOP if it is not restrained by this Court from further violating IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks. IHOP has no adequate remedy at law for these continuing violations.

### **Count I**

45. Paragraphs 1 through 44 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

46. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

47. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether House of Prayer Kansas City's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether House of Prayer Kansas City has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

48. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

49. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

50. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

51. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

## Count II

52. Paragraphs 1 through 51 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

53. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to House of Prayer Kansas City's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with evangelical missions services.

54. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

55. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

56. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP and INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES marks.

57. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

58. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

59. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

### **Count III**

60. Paragraphs 1 through 59 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

61. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

62. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with House of Prayer Kansas City or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of House of Prayer Kansas City's evangelical missions services by IHOP.

63. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

64. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

65. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

66. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to engage in acts of false designation of origin.

#### **Count IV**

67. Paragraphs 1 through 66 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

68. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise educational services in interstate commerce.

69. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether House of Prayer Kansas City's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether House of Prayer Kansas City has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

70. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City have been undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

71. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

72. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

73. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

#### **Count V**

74. Paragraphs 1 through 73 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

75. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to House of Prayer Kansas City's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with educational services.

76. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names and marks that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise educational services in interstate commerce.

77. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's famous INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

78. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

79. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

80. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

81. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

## **Count VI**

82. Paragraphs 1 through 81 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

83. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise educational services in interstate commerce.

84. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with House of Prayer Kansas City or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of House of Prayer Kansas City's educational services by IHOP.

85. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City demonstrate an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

86. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

87. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

88. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to engage in acts of false designation of origin.

## **Count VII**

89. Paragraphs 1 through 88 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

90. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise food and beverage services in interstate commerce.

91. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether House of Prayer Kansas City's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether House of Prayer Kansas City has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

92. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

93. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

94. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

95. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

### **Count VIII**

96. Paragraphs 1 through 95 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

97. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to House of Prayer Kansas City's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with food and beverage services.

98. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise food and beverage services in interstate commerce.

99. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's famous INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES or IHOP marks.

100. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

101. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

102. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

103. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

### **Count IX**

104. Paragraphs 1 through 103 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.



105. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City have used names and marks that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise food and beverage services in interstate commerce.

106. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with House of Prayer Kansas City or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of House of Prayer Kansas City's food and beverage services by IHOP.

107. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

108. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

109. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

110. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to engage in acts of false designation of origin.

### **Count X**

111. Paragraphs 1 through 110 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

112. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise books, beverage containers, DVDs, and other ancillary goods in interstate commerce.

113. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether House of Prayer Kansas City's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether House of Prayer Kansas City has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

114. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

115. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

116. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

117. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

### **Count XI**

118. Paragraphs 1 through 117 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

119. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to House of Prayer Kansas City's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with books, beverage containers, DVDs, and other ancillary goods.

120. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to sell,

offer for sale, distribute, or advertise books, beverage containers, DVDs, and other ancillary goods in interstate commerce.

121. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's famous INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES or IHOP mark.

122. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City have been undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

123. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

124. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

125. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

### **Count XII**

126. Paragraphs 1 through 125 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

127. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer Kansas City has used names that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise books, beverage containers, DVDs, and other ancillary goods in interstate commerce.

128. House of Prayer Kansas City's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with House of Prayer Kansas City or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of House of Prayer Kansas City's books, beverage containers, DVDs, and other ancillary goods by IHOP.

129. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer Kansas City were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

130. The actions of House of Prayer Kansas City constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

131. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of House of Prayer Kansas City.

132. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer Kansas City will continue to engage in acts of false designation of origin.

### **Count XIII**

133. Paragraphs 1 through 132 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

134. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer East Bay has used names and marks that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

135. House of Prayer East Bay's use is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether House of Prayer East

Bay's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether House of Prayer East Bay has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

136. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer East Bay were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

137. The actions of House of Prayer East Bay constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

138. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of House of Prayer East Bay.

139. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer East Bay will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

#### **Count XIV**

140. Paragraphs 1 through 139 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

141. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to House of Prayer East Bay's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with evangelical missions services.

142. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer East Bay has used names that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

143. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer East Bay were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill

associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP and INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES marks.

144. House of Prayer East Bay's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's famous INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

145. The actions of House of Prayer East Bay constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

146. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of House of Prayer East Bay.

147. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, House of Prayer East Bay will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

### **Count XV**

148. Paragraphs 1 through 147 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

149. By engaging in the acts described above, House of Prayer East Bay has used names and marks that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical missions services in interstate commerce.

150. House of Prayer East Bay's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with House of Prayer East Bay or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of House of Prayer East Bay's evangelical missions services by IHOP.

151. Upon information and belief, the actions of House of Prayer East Bay were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

152. The actions of House of Prayer East Bay constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

153. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of House of Prayer East Bay.

### **Count XVI**

154. Paragraphs 1 through 153 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

155. By engaging in the acts described above, Bickle has used names and marks that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical services in interstate commerce.

156. Bickle's use is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers, prospective consumers, and the public as to whether Bickle's services are endorsed by, sponsored by, or somehow affiliated with IHOP or whether Bickle has permission from IHOP to use the infringing names.

157. Upon information and belief, the actions of Bickle were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

158. The actions of Bickle constitute trademark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

159. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the infringing activities of Bickle.

160. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, Bickle will continue to infringe IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks.

### **Count XVII**

161. Paragraphs 1 through 160 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

162. IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks were famous and distinctive prior to Bickle's first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," or variations thereof in conjunction with evangelical services.

163. By engaging in the acts described above, Bickle has used names that dilute IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical services in interstate commerce.

164. Upon information and belief, the actions of Bickle were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon IHOP's goodwill associated with and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the IHOP and INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES marks.

165. Bickle's use is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's famous INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

166. The actions of Bickle constitute trademark dilution under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).



167. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation, goodwill, and the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks due to the diluting activities of Bickle.

168. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, Bickle will continue to dilute IHOP's rights in the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

### **Count XVIII**

169. Paragraphs 1 through 168 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

170. By engaging in the acts described above, Bickle has used names and marks that are confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks to provide, sell, offer for sale, distribute, or advertise evangelical services in interstate commerce.

171. Bickle's use is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the affiliation, connection, or association of IHOP with Bickle or confusion as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Bickle's evangelical services by IHOP.

172. Upon information and belief, the actions of Bickle were undertaken with an intentional, willful, or malicious intent to trade upon the goodwill associated with the IHOP Marks.

173. The actions of Bickle constitute a false designation of origin, false or misleading description, or a false or misleading representation of fact under Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

174. IHOP has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business reputation and goodwill due to the false and misleading activities of Bickle.

**Count XIX**

175. Paragraphs 1 through 174 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.

176. The use by the defendants of marks confusingly similar to the IHOP Marks in connection with evangelical missions services, educational services, food and beverage services, or books, beverage containers, DVDs, or other ancillary items constitutes common law infringement of IHOP's rights in the IHOP Marks and has damaged IHOP.

177. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, the defendants will continue to engage in this infringing activity.

**Count XX**

178. Paragraphs 1 through 177 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

179. The use by the defendants of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof is likely to dilute or has diluted the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks in violation of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 417.061(1).

180. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, the defendants will continue to engage in activity that dilutes the distinctiveness, strength, and value of IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks.

**Count XXI**

181. Paragraphs 1 through 180 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

182. The acts of the defendants described herein constitute common law unfair competition with IHOP, which has and will continue to damage IHOP.

183. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, the defendants will continue to engage in acts of unlawful competition.

### **Relief Sought**

WHEREFORE, IHOP prays for judgment and relief as follows:

a. judgment that IHOP IP, LLC owns enforceable rights in the IHOP Marks and the registrations for the IHOP Marks are valid;

b. judgment that IHOP's INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks are famous and distinctive and have been since at least prior to defendants' first use of "International House of Prayer," "IHOP," and variations thereof;

c. judgment that each of the defendants have been and are directly or indirectly infringing the IHOP Marks;

d. judgment that each of the defendants have been and are directly or indirectly diluting the distinctiveness, strength, and value of the INTERNATIONAL HOUSE OF PANCAKES and IHOP marks;

e. judgment that defendants acted deliberately, willfully, intentionally, or with malicious intent;

f. judgment that each of the defendants, its directors, officers, agents, servants, employees, successors, attorneys, and assigns, and all those acting in active concert or in participation with them, be enjoined from further acts that dilute or infringe the IHOP Marks directly or indirectly;

g. judgment that all domain names owned by the defendants that incorporate "ihop," including but not limited to ihop.org, be transferred to IHOP;

h. judgment that each of the defendants shall file with the court and serve on IHOP a written report stating what actions they have taken to comply with the Court's injunction within thirty (30) days after entry of the injunction;

i. judgment that House of Prayer East Bay be ordered to pay monetary relief in an amount to be fixed by the Court in its discretion as just, including:

i. all gains, profits, revenues, and advantages derived by House of Prayer East Bay from promoting its products and services under the IHOP Marks and from the sale of any products and services under the IHOP Marks as a result of its unfair competition, infringement, dilution, and false designation of origin;

ii. all damages sustained by IHOP as a result of House of Prayer East Bay's actions; and

iii. trebled damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

j. judgment that this case is exceptional, and that the defendants be ordered to pay all of IHOP's attorney fees associated with this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

k. judgment that the defendants individually and collectively be ordered to pay all costs and expenses incurred by IHOP in this action; and

l. judgment that IHOP be granted such other and additional relief as this Court may deem just and proper.