## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

MARK FAUGHN, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 4:14-CV-00245-BCW
	)	Case 110. 4.14-C 1-00243-DC W
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NA,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER**

On March 25, 2014, the Court held a teleconference in this case. During the teleconference, the parties discussed the pending motions and provided background information regarding the contested privilege issue. For the reasons explained on the record, and consistent with its rulings during the teleconference, the Court rules as follows.

The Court clarifies, however, that its orders placing certain documents under seal and allowing other documents to be filed under seal are <u>not</u> final determinations that these documents are confidential and/or privileged. Rather, the Court makes these rulings to maintain the status quo while the Court analyzes the issues of confidentiality and/or privilege. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED Defendant's Motion to File Under Seal Defendant's Motion To Claw Back Confidential Materials and Strike the Complaint (Doc. #9) is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Sealed Motion to Claw Back Confidential Materials and Strike the Complaint (Doc. #12) is DENIED AS MOOT and WITHOUT PREJUDICE. It is further

ORDERED the transcript from the March 25, 2014 teleconference shall, to the extent it is

ordered, be SEALED. It is further

ORDERED Defendant shall file a motion and supporting memorandum regarding the

privilege issue discussed during the teleconference on or before April 30, 2014. Plaintiffs shall

file their opposition on or before May 30, 2014. The argument section of both briefs shall not

exceed twenty-five (25) pages, and both briefs shall be filed under seal. Defendant shall file a

reply on or before June 20, 2014. The argument section of the reply shall not exceed twelve (12)

pages, and the reply shall be filed under seal. It is further

ORDERED the parties and their counsel shall, until the Court resolves the privilege and

confidentiality issues, treat the documents and information that are the subject of the privilege

motion as confidential and refrain from any further dissemination of them.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 26, 2014

/s/ Brian C. Wimes

JUDGE BRIAN C. WIMES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2