

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION

MARK FAUGHN, TERRIE FAUGHN,
MARVIN L. GLASS, CAROLYN S. GLASS,
THOMAS MCINTYRE, DEBORAH
MCINTYRE and ROGER SPARKS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-245

UNOPPOSED MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.3, Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“Chase”) hereby moves the Court for a brief extension of time to file its reply brief in support of its Motion to Claw Back Confidential Materials and to Strike the Complaint filed on April 30, 2014 (the “Clawback Motion”), in order to obtain approval to transmit to this Court certain sealed filings which Chase wishes to cite in its reply brief. In support of its motion, Chase states as follows:

1. On March 26, 2014, the Court entered an order setting a briefing schedule on the Clawback Motion. ECF No. 20. Among other deadlines, the Court’s order set the deadline for Chase to file its reply brief as June 20, 2014. *Id.* at 2.

2. In response to the arguments asserted in Plaintiffs’ Opposition to the Clawback Motion, Chase intends to provide the Court with additional sealed documents filed in *Hollis, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, No. 1:12-cv-10544-JGD (D. Mass.). In particular, Chase wishes to provide the Court with an *amicus* brief filed by the Office of the Comptroller of Currency in *Hollis*, which addresses a number of issues raised by Plaintiffs in their Opposition.

3. On June 12, 2014, Chase submitted a request to the *Hollis* court to transmit those sealed documents to this Court, but the *Hollis* court has not yet ruled on Chase's request.

4. Chase intends to discuss at least one of those sealed documents in its reply brief, but Chase cannot submit a brief referring to the content any sealed documents unless and until it receives permission to do so from the *Hollis* court.

5. Good cause exists to extend the deadline for submission of Chase's reply brief because Chase will be able to submit a more comprehensive discussion of the issues if can discuss the sealed documents in its reply brief.

6. Therefore, Chase respectfully requests that the deadline to file its reply brief be extended until such time as the *Hollis* court grants or denies Chase's request to transmit sealed documents to this Court. Chase proposes to file its reply brief on the next business day after the *Hollis* court issues its decision on Chase's request.

7. Plaintiffs assent to this motion as long as Chase's reply brief is filed no later than July 7, 2014.

Date: June 20, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

SPENCER FANE BRITT & BROWNE LLP

By: /s/Gardiner B. Davis
Gardiner B. Davis, #29127
Leslie A. Greathouse, #48341
Nathan A. Orr, #49836
1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400
Kansas City, MO 64106
(816) 474-8100
(816) 474-3216 (facsimile)
gdavis@spencerfane.com
lgreathouse@spencerfane.com
norr@spencerfance.com

- And -

OF COUNSEL:

Beth I.Z. Boland (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Stephen J. Quinlan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
111 Huntington Ave., Ste. 2600
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 342-4000
bboland@foley.com
squinlan@foley.com

Rachel M. Blise (admitted *pro hac vice*)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
777 E. Wisconsin Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-2400
rblise@foley.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing document was filed under utilizing the CM/ECF system which generated a notice to all counsel of record.

Dated: June 20, 2014

/s/Gardiner B. Davis
Attorney for Defendant
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.