## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

| EMILY DACK, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED; et al;                             | )<br>)<br>)                 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiffs,   | ) Case No. 4:20-CV-00615-RK |
| V.  | )                           |
| VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., A NEW JERSEY CORPORATION; AND VOLKSWAGEN, AG, A FOREIGN CORPORATION; | )<br>)<br>)<br>)            |
| Defendants.   | )                           |

## ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION <u>SETTLEMENT</u>

WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. ("Rule") 23(a), 23(b)(3), and 23(e), the parties seek entry of an order, *inter alia*, preliminarily approving the class Settlement of this Action ("Settlement") pursuant to the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement dated November 3, 2023, with attached exhibits ("Settlement Agreement") (Doc. 73-1); preliminarily certifying the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only; directing Notice to the Settlement Class pursuant to the parties' proposed Notice Plan; preliminarily appointing the Settlement Class Representatives, Settlement Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator; directing the timing and procedures for any objections to, and requests for exclusion from, the Settlement; setting forth other procedures, filings and deadlines; and scheduling the Final Fairness Hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits, Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval, and other filings in this Action;

## NOW, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms used in this Order shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 2. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement, and its Settlement terms, as fair, reasonable and adequate under Rule 23, subject to further consideration at the Final Fairness Hearing.
- 3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court preliminarily certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class:

All persons and entities who purchased or leased, in the United States or Puerto Rico, the following model year vehicles equipped with an automatic emergency braking system that are enumerated in a VIN list attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit 4, which were imported and distributed by VWGoA for sale or lease in the United States or Puerto Rico: certain model year 2019-2023 Volkswagen Arteon; model year 2018-2023 Volkswagen Atlas; model year 2020-2023 Volkswagen Atlas Cross Sport; model year 2016-2017 Volkswagen CC; model year 2016-2021 Volkswagen Golf; model year 2016-2019 and 2022-2023 Volkswagen Golf R; model year 2016-2019 Volkswagen Golf Sportwagen; model year 2016-2023 Volkswagen GTI; model year 2016-2019 Volkswagen e-Golf; model year 2021-2023 Volkswagen ID.4; model year 2016-2023 Volkswagen Jetta; model year 2016-2022 Volkswagen Passat; model year 2022-2023 Volkswagen Taos; model year 2018-2023 Volkswagen Tiguan; model year 2015-2017 Volkswagen Touareg; model year 2015-2020 and 2022-2023 Audi A3; model year 2019-2023 Audi Q3; model year 2013-2023 Audi A4; model year 2013-2023 Audi A5; model year 2013-2023 Audi Q5; model year 2012-2023 Audi A6; model year 2012-2023 Audi A7; model year 2011-2023 Audi A8; model year 2017-2023 Audi Q7; model year 2019-2023 Audi Q8; model year 2019-2023 Audi e-tron; model year 2022-2023 Audi e-tron GT; and model year 2022-2023 Audi Q4 e-tron

(hereinafter "Settlement Class").

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (a) all Judges who have presided over the Action and their spouses; (b) all current employees, officers, directors, agents and representatives of Defendant, and their family members; (c) any affiliate, parent or subsidiary of Defendant and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (d) anyone acting as a used car dealer; (e) anyone who purchased a Settlement Class Vehicle for the purpose of commercial resale; (f) anyone who purchased a Settlement Class Vehicle with salvaged title and/or any insurance company who acquired a Settlement Class Vehicle as a result of a total loss; (g) any insurer of a Settlement Class Vehicle; (h) issuers of extended vehicle warranties and service contracts; (i) any Settlement Class Member who, prior to the date of the Settlement Agreement, settled with and

released Defendant or any Released Parties from any Released Claims; and (j) any Settlement Class Member who files a timely and proper Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class.

- 4. The Court preliminarily appoints Bursor & Fisher, Walsh PLLC, Sauder Schelkopf LLC; Law Office of Adam R. Gonnelli, L.L.C.; Berger Montague, PC; and Capstone Law APC as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court preliminarily appoints Plaintiffs Emily Dack, Kim Hensley-Hauser, Neeraj Sharma, Stephan Moonesar, Matthew May, Omar Oweis, Marcos Pieras, and Linda Christian as Settlement Class Representatives.
- 6. The Court preliminarily appoints Rust Consulting, Inc. as the Settlement Claim Administrator ("Claim Administrator").
- 7. The Court preliminarily finds, solely for purposes of the Settlement, that the Rule 23 criteria for certification of the Settlement Class exists in that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members in the Action is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class that predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Settlement Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel have and will continue to fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 8. In addition, the Court preliminarily finds that certification of the Settlement Class is appropriate when balanced against the risks and delays of further litigation. The proceedings that occurred before the Parties entered into the Settlement Agreement afforded counsel the opportunity to adequately assess the claims and defenses in the Action, the positions, strengths, weaknesses, risks and benefits to each Party, and as such, to negotiate a Settlement Agreement that is fair, reasonable and adequate and reflects those considerations.

- 9. The Court also preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of intensive, arm's-length negotiations of vigorously disputed claims, including through the use and assistance of an experienced third-party neutral mediator, and that the proposed Settlement is not the result of any collusion.
- 10. The Court approves the form and content of the Settlement Class Notice (Exhibit 2 to the Settlement Agreement) and the Claim Forms (Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement). The Court further finds that the mailing of the Settlement Class Notice, in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the establishment of a settlement website, and related procedures (hereinafter, collectively, the "Notice Plan"), satisfies Rule 23, due process, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice Plan is reasonably calculated to apprise the Settlement Class of, inter alia, the pendency of the Action; the certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only; the terms of the Settlement, including its benefits and the Release of Claims; the Settlement Class Members' rights including the right to, and the deadlines and procedures for, requesting exclusion from the Settlement or objecting to the Settlement; Class Counsel's application for Fees and Expenses and/or the application for Settlement Class representative Service Awards; the deadline, procedures and requirements for submitting a Claim for Reimbursement pursuant to the Settlement terms; and the time, place, and right to appear at the Final Fairness hearing. The Court authorizes the Parties to make non-material modifications to the Settlement Class Notice and Claim Form prior to mailing if they jointly agree that any such changes are appropriate.
- 11. Accordingly, the Court approves, and directs the implementation of, the Notice Plan pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Claim Administrator is directed to perform all settlement administration duties set forth in, and pursuant to the terms and time periods of, the Settlement Agreement, including mailing of the CAFA Notice, implementing and maintaining the Settlement website, disseminating the Class Notice to the Settlement Class,

the processing, review and determination of timely submitted and proper Claims for Reimbursement under the Settlement terms, and the submission of any declarations and other materials to counsel and the Court, as well as any other duties required under the Settlement Agreement.

- 13. The Departments of Motor Vehicles within the United States and its territories are ordered to provide approval to Polk/IHS Markit, or any other company so retained by the parties and/or the Claim Administrator, to release the names and addresses of Settlement Class Members in the Action associated with the titles of the Vehicle Identification Numbers at issue in the Action for the purposes of disseminating the Settlement Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members. Polk/IHS Markit, or any other company so retained by the parties, is ordered to license, pursuant to agreement between Defendant and Polk/IHS Markit or such other company, and/or the Claim Administrator and Polk/IHS Markit or such other company, the Settlement Class Members' contact information to the Claim Administrator and/or Defendant solely for the use of providing Settlement Class Notice in the Action and for no other purpose.
- 14. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must mail, by first-class mail postmarked no later than thirty (30) days after the Notice Date, a written request for exclusion ("Request for Exclusion") to (a) the Claim Administrator at the address specified in the Class Notice; (b) Tarek Zohdy, Esq., Capstone Law APC, 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000, Los Angeles, CA 90067 on behalf of Class Counsel; and (c) Michael B. Gallub, Esq., Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., 1 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 2801, New York, NY 10020 on behalf of Defendant. To be effective, the Request for Exclusion must:
  - a. Include the Settlement Class Member's full name, address and telephone number, and identify the model, model year and VIN of the Settlement Class Vehicle;
  - State that he/she/it is or was a present or former owner or lessee of a Settlement
     Class Vehicle; and

- c. Specifically and unambiguously state his/her/their/its desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class.
- 15. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to timely mail a complete Request for Exclusion to the proper persons/addresses set forth above shall remain in the Settlement Class and shall be subject to and bound by all determinations, orders and judgments in the Action concerning the Settlement, including but not limited to the Released Claims set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Any Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a Request for Exclusion may object to the fairness of the Settlement Agreement and/or the requested amount of Class Counsel Fees and Expenses and/or Settlement Class Representative service awards.
  - a. To object, a Settlement Class Member must, no later than thirty (30) days after the Notice Date, either:
    - file any such objection, together with any supporting briefs and/or documents, with the Court either in person at the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, located at Charles Evans Whittaker U.S. Courthouse, 400 E. 9th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106, or
    - ii. file same via the Court's electronic filing system, or
    - iii. if not filed in person or via the Court's electronic system, then, by U.S. first-class mail post-marked within the said 30-day deadline, mail the objection, together with any supporting briefs and/or documents, to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Charles Evans Whittaker U.S. Courthouse, 400 E. 9th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106, and also, by U.S. first-class mail post-marked within said deadline, serve same upon the following counsel for the Parties: Capstone Law APC, Attn: Tarek Zohdy, 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000, Los Angeles, California 90067,

- on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Michael B. Gallub, Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., 1 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 2801, New York, New York 10020, on behalf of Defendant.
- b. Any objecting Settlement Class Member must include the following with his/her/their/its objection: (i) the objector's full name, address, and telephone number; (ii) the model, model year and Vehicle Identification Number of the Settlement Class Vehicle, along with proof that the objector has owned or leased the Settlement Class Vehicle (i.e., a true copy of a vehicle title, registration, or license receipt); (iii) a written statement of all grounds for the objection accompanied by any legal support for such objection; (iv) copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is based and are pertinent to the objection; (v) the name, address and telephone number of any counsel representing said objector; (vi) a statement of whether the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, either with or without counsel, and the identity(ies) of any counsel who will appear on behalf of the Settlement Class Member objection at the Final Fairness Hearing; and (vii) a list of all other objections submitted by the objector, or the objector's counsel, to any class action settlements submitted in any court in the United States in the previous five (5) years, including the full case name, the jurisdiction in which it was filed and the docket number. If the Settlement Class Member or his/her/its counsel has not objected to any other class action settlement in the United States in the previous five (5) years, he/she/they/it shall affirmatively so state in the objection.
- c. Subject to the approval of the Court, any Settlement Class Member who has properly filed a timely objection may appear, in person or by counsel, at the Final Fairness Hearing to explain why the proposed Settlement should not be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, or to object to any motion for Class Counsel Fees

and Expenses or Settlement Class Representative service awards. In order to appear, any Settlement Class Member must, no later than the objection deadline, file with the Clerk of the Court and serve upon all counsel designated in the Class Notice, a Notice of Intention to Appear at the Final Fairness Hearing. The Notice of Intention to Appear must include copies of any papers, exhibits or other evidence and the identity of all witnesses, if any, that the objecting Settlement Class Member (or the objecting Settlement Class Member's counsel) intends to present to the Court in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing. Any Settlement Class Member who does not provide a Notice of Intention to Appear in accordance with the deadline and other requirements set forth in this Order and the Class Notice shall be deemed to have waived any right to appear, in person or by counsel, at the Final Fairness Hearing.

- d. Any Settlement Class Member who has not properly filed a timely and complete objection in accordance with the deadline and requirements set forth in this Order and the Class Notice shall be deemed to have waived any objections to the Settlement and any adjudication or review of the Settlement Agreement by appeal or otherwise.
- 17. In the event the Settlement is not granted final approval by the Court, or for any reason the parties fail to obtain a Final Order and Judgment as contemplated in the Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement is terminated pursuant to its terms for any reason, then the following shall apply:
  - a. All orders and findings entered in connection with the Settlement shall become null and void and have no further force and effect, shall not be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever, and shall not be admissible or discoverable in this or any other proceeding, judicial or otherwise;
  - b. All of the Parties' respective pre-Settlement claims, defenses and procedural rights will be preserved, and the parties will be restored to their positions *status quo ante*;

- c. Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as, any admission or concession by or against Defendant, Released Parties or Plaintiffs on any allegation, claim, defense, or point of fact or law in connection with this Action;
- d. Neither the Settlement terms nor any publicly disseminated information regarding the Settlement, including, without limitation, the Class Notice, Claim Form, court filings, settlement website, orders and public statements, may be used as evidence in this or any other proceeding, judicial or otherwise; and
- e. The preliminary certification of the Settlement Class pursuant to this Order shall be vacated automatically, and the Action shall proceed as though the Settlement Class had never been preliminarily certified.
- 18. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing and the Court's decision whether to grant final approval of the Settlement, no Settlement Class Member, either directly, representatively, or in any other capacity (including those Settlement Class Members who filed Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement which have not yet been reviewed and approved by the Court at the Final Fairness Hearing), shall commence, prosecute, continue to prosecute, or participate in, against any of the Released Parties, any action or proceeding in any court or tribunal (judicial, administrative or otherwise) asserting any of the matters, claims or causes of action that are to be released in the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1651(a) and 2283, the Court finds that issuance of this preliminary injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's continuing jurisdiction and authority over the Action.
- 19. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing and any further determination thereof, this Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these Settlement proceedings.
- 20. Based on the foregoing, the Court sets the following schedule, below, for the Final Fairness Hearing and the actions which must precede it. If any deadline set forth in this Order falls on a weekend or federal holiday, then such deadline shall extend to the next business day. These deadlines, including the Final Fairness Hearing may be extended

by order of the Court, for good cause shown, without further notice to the Class. Settlement Class Members must check the Settlement website regularly for updates and further details regarding this Settlement:

| Event   | Deadline Pursuant to<br>Settlement Agreement |
|---|--|
| Class Notice shall be mailed in accordance with the Notice Plan and this Order  | 5/15/2024                                    |
| Class Counsel's Fee and Expense<br>Application and request for service<br>awards for Plaintiffs-Settlement<br>Class Representatives   | 5/28/2024                                    |
| Objections to the Settlement, Class<br>Counsel's Fee and Expense<br>Application, and/or the request for<br>Settlement Class Representative<br>service awards  | 6/17/2024                                    |
| Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement  | 6/17/2024                                    |
| Plaintiffs' Motion for Final<br>Approval of the Settlement  | 6/27/2024                                    |
| Claim Administrator shall submit a declaration to the Court (i) reporting the names of all persons and entities that submitted timely Requests for Exclusion; and (ii) attesting that Class Notice was disseminated in accordance with the Notice Plan. | 6/27/2024                                    |
| Responses of Any Party to timely filed Objections to the Settlement and/or Fee and Expense Application, and to any Requests for Exclusion   | 7/17/2024                                    |

| Any submissions by Defendant concerning Final Approval of the Settlement   | 7/17/2024 |
|--|-----------|
| Final Fairness Hearing will be held<br>at Charles Evans Whittaker U.S.<br>Courthouse, 400 E. 9th Street,<br>Kansas City, MO 64106, Courtroom<br>8E, or by teleconference as<br>determined by the Court | 7/17/2024 |

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Roseann A. Ketchmark
ROSEANN A. KETCHMARK, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: January 17, 2024