

Missouri statute that establishes when tenants must deduct the cost of repair from rent payments. Plaintiff's complaint appears to establish both Plaintiff and Defendant are Missouri residents, as Plaintiff repeatedly lists a Clayton, Missouri address for Defendant, who Plaintiff appears to believe is the owner of her apartment complex in Republic, Missouri. This in turn indicates lack of jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Further, Plaintiff cites no authority, nor is this Court aware of any, that would grant this Court jurisdiction over this suit due to Plaintiff's possible participation in any HUD voucher program.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's pro se complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice at this time. This Court is sympathetic to Plaintiff's situation, but the allegations in Plaintiff's pro se complaint do not grant this Court necessary subject matter jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff's pending Motion for Extension of Time for the Rule 26 Conference and pending Motion RE Possible Fraudulent Activity are hereby **MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2024

/s/ Douglas Harpool
DOUGLAS HARPOOL
United States District Judge