

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
GREAT FALLS DIVISION**

INDIGENOUS ENVIRONMENTAL
NETWORK and NORTH COAST
RIVER ALLIANCE,

and

NORTHERN PLAINS RESOURCE
COUNCIL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
STATE, et al.,

Defendants,

TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE
PIPELINE and TRANSCANADA
CORPORATION,

Defendant-Intervenors.

CV 17-29-GF-BMM

CV 17-31-GF-BMM

**Order Regarding Word Limits,
Separate Statements of Facts,
and Joint Appendix**

The parties in these consolidated cases have jointly moved to expand the word limits for the motions for summary judgment and to file a joint appendix rather than separate statements of facts. For the reasons stated in the motion, and for good cause, it is hereby ordered that:

Word Limits

The parties' summary judgment briefs shall have the following word limits:

- IEN Plaintiffs’ and Northern Plains Plaintiffs’ motions for summary judgment: 14,000 words each
- Federal Government’s and TransCanada’s oppositions/cross-motions: 14,000 words each
- IEN Plaintiffs’ and Northern Plains Plaintiffs’ oppositions/replies: 14,000 words each
- Federal Government’s and TransCanada’s replies: 7,000 words each

Separate Statements of Facts and Joint Appendix

The parties need not file the separate statements of facts required by Local Rule 56.1. The parties shall instead file a joint appendix of the citations to the administrative record within three business days of the last summary judgment reply brief.

DATED this 16th day of January, 2018.



Brian Morris
United States District Court Judge