Witherall v. Bell et al Doc. 25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

ALLEN WITHERALL,

Plaintiff,

CV-17-38-GF-BMM-JTJ

v.

DARRELL BELL, et al.,

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Defendants.

United States Magistrate Judge John Johnston entered Findings and Recommendations in this matter on December 11, 2017. (Doc. 23.) No party filed objections.

Given that no objections were filed, the Court has reviewed Judge

Johnston's Findings and Recommendations for clear error. *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). The

Court finds no error in Judge Johnston's Findings and Recommendations, and

adopts them in full.

I.BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Allen Witherall filed an Amended Complaint on May 16, 2017.

(Doc. 7.) Judge Johnston issued an Order on November 6, 2017, finding that

Witherall's Complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.

(Doc. 22.) Judge Johnston's Order provided Witherall an opportunity to file a second amended complaint on or before November 30, 2017. (Doc. 22 at 28.) Witherall has failed to file a second amended complaint.

II.DISCUSSION

Judge Johnston recommends dismissal for Witherall's failure to file a second amended complaint. (Doc. 12 at 2.) Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) provides that the Court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with a court order.

Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992).

The Court notes Witherall's *pro se* status. The Court must "liberally construe" *pro se* filings. *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007). Nothing in the record indicates that Witherall has made an attempt to comply with Judge Johnston's order. Witherall's *pro se* status cannot overcome his failure to file a second amended complaint.

III.ORDER

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Johnston's Findings and Recommendations (Doc. 23) is **ADOPTED IN FULL**. Witherall's Complaint is **DISMISSED** for failure to state a federal claim.

The Clerk of Court is directed to close this matter and enter judgment in favor of Defendants pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Clerk of Court is directed to have the docket reflect that the Court

certifies pursuant to Rule 24(a)(3)(A) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure that any appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith. No reasonable person could suppose an appeal would have merit. The record makes plain the Complaint lacks arguable substance in law or fact.

The Clerk of Court is directed to have the docket reflect that this dismissal counts as a strike pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) because Witherall failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

DATED this 31st day of January, 2018.

Brian Morris

United States District Court Judge