

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MONTANA

MISSOULA DIVISION

Defenders of Wildlife, et al.)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
 vs.)
)
 Ken Salazar, et al.)
)
 Defendants.)
)
)
 _____)

JUDGMENT

CV 09-77-M-DWM

CV 09-82-M-DWM

_____ **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

_____ **X Decision by Court.**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment (dkt ## 105, 106) are **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as moot. They are **GRANTED** as to Count I of Plaintiffs Defenders of Wildlife, et al.' s Complaint and Count III of Plaintiff Greater Yellowstone Coalition's Complaint, and **DENIED** as moot in all other aspects.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (dkt ## 113, 118, 121, 125, 128, 129) are **DENIED** in part on the merits as to Count I of Plaintiffs Defenders of Wildlife, et al.' s Complaint and Count III of Plaintiff Greater Yellowstone Coalition's Complaint, and **DENIED** in part as moot in all other respects.

Finally, **IT IS ORDERED** that the April 2, 2009 Final Rule to Identify the Northern Rocky Mountain Population of Gray Wolf as a Distinct Population Segment (74 Fed. Reg. 15,123) is **VACATED** and set aside.

Dated this 5th day of August, 2010.

PATRICK E. DUFFY, CLERK

By: /s/ Coleen Hanley
Deputy Clerk