Michael E	. Spreadb	ury
-----------	-----------	-----


700 S. 4th Street

Hamilton, MT 59840

Telephone: (406) 363-3877

mspread@hotmail.com

Pro Se Plaintiff



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

	Cause No.: CV-11-07-DWM-JCL
MICHAEL E. SPREADBURY)
Plaintiff)
v.) MOTION TO
BITTERROOT PUBLIC LIBRARY,) STRIKE
CITY OF HAMILTON,)
LEE ENTERPRISES INC.,)
BOONE KARLBERG PC,)
Defendants)

Comes now Plaintiff with motion to strike irrelevant information submitted to this court. Defense counsel for Lee Enterprises, and Defense Counsel for City, Library and Boone Karlberg have submitted information regarding speaking to a librarian November 4, 2009 which is protected free speech. Even if not considered free speech, has no relevance to peaceful assembly August 20, 2009.

Court has duty to protect parties to a case, and to screen material for relevancy.

Spreadbury respectfully objects to material published, or other court proceedings that Spreadbury has no control over submitted to this court. If Montana officials, or judicial officers wish to be corrupt, or violate their oath of office, Spreadbury has no control over documents produced by these officials.

Plaintiff Motion to Strike

Honorable court is hearing case as to peaceful assembly on public property, violation of due process for liberty interest with respect to library privileges, malicious prosecution for peaceful assembly, and other violations pled to this court. The speech issue November 4, 2009 is not relevant to case at hand.

Information that has no relevance to this case should be barred from entry to case.

Defendants are misrepresenting defamation before this court, submitting information to mislead this court.

As a pro se IFP litigant, court has duty to protect party, disallow non-relevant material. Discussion, articles, order of protection without finding of fact, conclusion of law are merely more evidence of civil conspiracy, yet have no place as information, or evidence in the aforementioned.

Wherefore, Spreadbury requests material outside of scope of case, which includes a separate matter pending regarding discussion with librarian November 4, 2009 should be stricken from the record.

Respectfully submitted this 28 day of June 2011

Michael E. Spreadbury, Pro Ser laintiff