Michael E. Spreadbury

700 S. 4th Street

Hamilton, MT 59840

Telephone: (406) 363-3877

mspread@hotmail.com

Pro Se Plaintiff



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

MICHAEL E. SPREADBURY) Cause No: CV-11-64-DWM-JCL	
Plaintiff)	
v.)	RESPONSE TO BOONE
BITTERROOT PUBLIC LIBRARY,)	KARLBERG PC; NOTI CE
LEE ENTERPRISES, INC.,)	OF INFORMATION, IN
BOONE KARLBERG, PC,)	RE: COURT FINDINGS
)	
Comes now Spreadbury with timely resp	onse, b	rief in support to Defendant Boone
Karlberg PC motion, brief on Court findi	ings.	

Brief in Support

Defendant and Defense counsel Boone Karlberg PC, in color of law, in civil conspiracy, fraud per FRCP 9b, 42 USC §1983 misrepresents "harassment" of Spreadbury in the aforementioned.

Spreadbury presents information to this Honorable court Defendant Gloria

Langstaff, Defendant Bitterroot Public Library impute harassment on Spreadbury.

Hamilton Police Report 1-209CR0001330 June 16, 2009 Defendant Langstaff imputes crime on Spreadbury for return of property refused from Defendant

Bitterroot Public Library (Exhibit A).

Defendant Boone Karlberg PC, set up public fraud, paid litigation fees for ineligible Defendant public library using public funds. In civil conspiracy, public library attempts in malice to impute crime of "harassment" by asking for property to be returned. Spreadbury's private property made HPD evidence # 209EV0000092 at top of pg. 2 of the Hamilton Police Report (Exhibit A).

Defendant Public Library did not return property to Spreadbury. Spreadbury never asked to leave public library, statutory privilege, liberty to use library removed in violation of Montana Code Ann. MCA§ 22-1-311(Use of Library—Privilege).

Court is informed of misrepresentation with respect to "harassment" on proper conduct of Spreadbury Sprunk v. First Bank W. Missoula 228 Mont. at 174 (1987).

- 1. Defendant Boone, Library asserts Spreadbury harassed public library.
- 2. Spreadbury did not harass the public library employees in Hamilton, MT.
- 3. Defendants improperly benefiting from imputing crime of Spreadbury, allows bias in US Federal Judiciary at US District Court in Montana.

- 4. Defendants are aware of falsity: claim of Spreadbury harassment.
- 5. Defendants intent court should act on falsity.
- 6. US District Court ignorant of falsity presented.
- 7. US District Court relies on representation as true.
- 8. US District Court does not expect Defendants to present representation.
- 9. Spreadbury defrauded of proper acts with public library, court does not uphold constitutional rights, other claims in the aforementioned for Spreadbury for redress of injury to character, unlawful deprivation of right.

Harassment not effected by Spreadbury, imputed by Defense in bad faith.

Certificate of Compliance

From LR 7(d)(2)(E) US District Court Rules Montana, I certify that this brief conforms with 14 point font, New Times Roman typeface, is double spaced, contains 340 words excluding title page, this compliance.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of August, 2011

BY:

Michael E. Spreadburg, Self Represented Plaintiff