## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

## FILED

JAN 1 9 2016

Clerk, U.S. District Court District Of Montana Missoula

JAMES A. COUTURE,

Petitioner,

vs.

DAVID BERKEBILE; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF MONTANA, ORDER

CV 15-80-M-DLC-JCL

Respondents.

United States Magistrate Judge Jeremiah C. Lynch entered findings and recommendations in this matter on December 10, 2015, recommending dismissal of Petitioner James A. Couture's ("Couture") petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Couture filed objections to the findings and recommendations on December 23, 2015, and so is entitled to de novo review of those findings and recommendations to which he specifically objects. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). This Court reviews for clear error those findings and recommendations to which no party objects. *See McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). "Clear error exists if the Court is left with a

definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed." United States v. Syrax, 235 F.3d 422, 427 (9th Cir. 2000).

Notwithstanding the above, "[w]here a petitioner's objections constitute perfunctory responses argued in an attempt to engage the district court in a rehashing of the same arguments set forth in the original habeas petition, the applicable portions of the findings and recommendations will be reviewed for clear error." *Rosling v. Kirkegard*, 2014 WL 693315 at \*3 (D. Mont. Feb. 21, 2014) (citations omitted).

Having reviewed Couture's objection, the Court finds that he fails to articulate any specific issue with Judge Lynch's reasoning, and instead reiterates his perception that his constitutional rights have been violated in some manner. Accordingly, the Court reviews Judge Lynch's findings and recommendations for clear error and, finding none,

IT IS ORDERED that Judge Lynch's findings and recommendations (Doc. 11) are ADOPTED IN FULL. Couture's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1) is DISMISSED as time-barred without excuse.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall enter by separate document a judgment of dismissal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

-2-

DATED this <u>19</u><sup>th</sup> day of January, 2016.

1]//

Dana L. Christensen, Chief Judge United States District Court