IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| MORRISON ENTERPRISES, LLC, and |) | 4:08CV3142 |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| CITY OF HASTINGS, Nebraska, |) | |
| |) | MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ON |
| Plaintiffs, |) | "DEFENDANT DRAVO |
| |) | CORPORATION'S REQUEST FOR THE |
| v. |) | COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF |
| |) | THE UNITED STATES' AMICUS CURIAI |
| DRAVO CORPORATION, |) | MEMORANDUM FILED IN ITT |
| |) | INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BORGWARNER, |
| Defendant. |) | INC. IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR |
| |) | SUMMARY JUDGMENT RELATED TO |
| | _ ′ | PLAINTIFF'S WELL D CLAIMS" |

On September 15, 2009, Dravo Corporation (Dravo) filed a "Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice of the United States' Amicus Curiae Memorandum Filed in <u>ITT Industries</u>, <u>Inc. v. Borgwarner, Inc.</u> in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment Related to Plaintiffs' Well D Claims." (Filing 304.) In response, Morrison Enterprises, LLC (Morrison) states that it does not dispute the legal position put forward in the United States' amicus brief; it argues, however, that Dravo's request should be denied because the facts of <u>ITT Industries</u> are distinguishable from those of the instant case. (See filing 327 at 2.)

I shall consider the amicus brief cited by Dravo as I analyze its motion for summary judgment on the plaintiff's claims related to the Well D System. (See filing 154.) To that extent,

IT IS ORDERED that Dravo's request for the court to take judicial notice of the United States' amicus curiae memorandum in <u>ITT Industries, Inc. v. Borgwarner, Inc.</u>, filing 304, is granted.

Dated November 9, 2009.

BY THE COURT

s/ Warren K. Urbom United States Senior District Judge