

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MICHAEL JACKSON,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	4:08CV3155
)	
v.)	
)	
FRED BRITTEN,)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

The Court has conducted an initial review of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ([Filing No. 1](#)) to determine whether the claims made by petitioner are, when liberally construed, potentially cognizable in federal court. Petitioner has made four claims.

The claims asserted by petitioner are:

Claim One: Petitioner's conviction was obtained as a result of ineffective assistance of counsel because petitioner's trial counsel did not depose all potential witnesses, did not discover or present evidence of petitioner's "actual innocence," did not present exculpatory evidence at trial, and did not effectively cross-examine the State's witnesses.

Claim Two: Petitioner's conviction was obtained as a result of ineffective assistance of counsel because petitioner's trial counsel's performance violated petitioner's rights under the Sixth, Eighth, Eleventh, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Claim Three: Petitioner's conviction was obtained as a result of ineffective assistance of counsel because petitioner's appellate counsel did not depose witnesses and did not discover or present evidence of petitioner's "actual innocence."

Claim Four: Petitioner's conviction was obtained as a result of ineffective assistance of counsel because petitioner's appellate counsel's performance violated petitioner's rights under the Sixth, Eighth, Eleventh, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Liberally construed, the Court preliminarily decides that all four of petitioner's claims are potentially cognizable in federal court. However, the Court cautions that no determination has been made regarding the merits of these claims or any defenses thereto or whether there are procedural bars that will prevent petitioner from obtaining the relief sought.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Upon initial review of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ([Filing No. 1](#)), the Court preliminarily determines that all four of petitioner's claims, as set forth in this memorandum and order, are potentially cognizable in federal court.

2. The clerk of the court is directed to mail copies of this memorandum and order and the Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus to respondent and the Nebraska Attorney General by regular first-class mail.

3. By November 17, 2008, respondent shall file a motion for summary judgment or an answer. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: November 17, 2008: deadline for respondent to file answer or motion for summary judgment.

4. If respondent elects to file a motion for summary judgment, the following procedures shall be followed by respondent and petitioner:

A. The motion for summary judgment shall be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time of the filing of the motion.

B. The motion for summary judgment shall be supported by such state court records as are necessary to support the motion. Those records shall be contained in a separate filing entitled:

"Designation of State Court Records in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment."

C. Copies of the motion for summary judgment, the designation, including state court records, and respondent's brief, shall be served upon petitioner except that respondent is only required to provide petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the record which are cited in respondent's brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by

petitioner, petitioner may file a motion with the court requesting additional documents. Such motion shall set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.

D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the motion for summary judgment, petitioner shall file and serve a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Petitioner shall submit no other documents unless directed to do so by the court.

E. No later than 30 days after the filing of petitioner's brief, respondent shall file and serve a reply brief.

F. If the motion for summary judgment is denied, respondent shall file an answer, a designation, and a brief that complies with the terms of this order. (See the following paragraph.) The documents shall be filed no later than 30 days after the denial of the motion for summary judgment. **Respondent is warned that the failure to file an answer, a designation, and a brief in a timely fashion may result in the imposition of sanctions, including petitioner's release.**

5. If respondent files an answer, the following procedures shall be followed by respondent and petitioner:

A. No later than 30 days after the filing of the answer, respondent shall file a separate brief. Both the answer and brief shall address all matters germane to the case including, but not

limited to, the merits of petitioner's allegations that have survived initial review, and whether any claim is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies, a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, a statute of limitations, or because the petition is an unauthorized second or successive petition. See, e.g., Rules 5(b) and 9 of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#).

B. The answer shall be supported by all state court records which are relevant to the cognizable claims. See, e.g., Rule 5(c)-(d) of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#). Those records shall be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records In Support of Answer."

C. Copies of the answer, the designation, and respondent's brief shall be served upon petitioner except that respondent is only required to provide petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the designated record that are cited in respondent's brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by petitioner, petitioner may file a motion with the Court requesting additional documents. Such motion shall set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.

D. No later than 30 days following the filing of respondent's brief, petitioner shall file and serve a brief in

response. Petitioner shall submit no other documents unless directed to do so by the Court.

E. No later than 30 days after the filing of petitioner's brief, respondent shall file and serve a reply brief.

6. No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the Court. See Rule 6 of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#).

DATED this 6th day of October, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge
United States District Court