Bernhardt v. Johns et al Doc. 43

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

KEVIN BERNHARDT,)	CASE NO. 4:09CV3004
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
RON JOHNS, et al.,	į	rate one and
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion for Copies. (Filing No. 40.) However, Plaintiff does not have the right to receive copies of documents without payment, even if the court granted him leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915; see also Haymes v. Smith, 73 F.R.D. 572, 574 (W.D.N.Y. 1976) ("The generally recognized rule is that a court may not authorize the commitment of federal funds to underwrite the necessary expenditures of an indigent civil litigant's action.") (citing Tyler v. Lark, 472 F.2d 1077 (8th Cir. 1973), other citations omitted). If Plaintiff requires copies of court documents, he should contact the Clerk of the court to determine the proper method of requesting and paying for copies. Plaintiff's Motion for Copies is denied. However, to the extent it has not already done so, the Clerk of the court shall provide copies of the official forms requested by Plaintiff at no charge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's Motion for Copies (Filing No. <u>40</u>) is denied. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Filing No. <u>41</u>) is denied as moot; and

¹Plaintiff has not previously been given leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Rather, Defendants removed this matter from state court and paid the filing fee in full. (See Docket Sheet.)

2. The Clerk of the court is directed to provide to Plaintiff the official forms he requests in Filing No. <u>40</u> at no charge.

DATED this 8th day of April, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp United States District Judge

^{*}This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.