

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

IN RE)	
)	
PLANNING CONFERENCES)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
SCHEDULED BEFORE)	
MAGISTRATE JUDGE PIESTER)	

With the agreement of Judge Piester, the Chief Judge and the other district judges, Judge Kopf has begun handling all magistrate matters previously handled by Judge Piester. Judge Kopf will do so for the foreseeable future regarding his own cases as well as cases assigned to other district judges. Thus, any magistrate matters previously handled by Judge Piester will be handled by Judge Kopf alone unless otherwise ordered and that is true for cases assigned to Judge Kopf and it is also true for cases assigned to other district judges. The district judge assigned to try the cases identified below has not changed.

Telephonic planning conferences are currently scheduled before Magistrate Judge Piester in the following cases:

- Hird v. Minden Public Schools, 4:08CV3221
- Brown v. SSA Security, 4:08CV3233
- Loos v. Napolitano, 4:08cv3241
- Gerdes v. Chertoff, 4:08CV3246
- Manning v. Internal Medicine, 4:08CV3257
- TierOne v. USA, 4:08CV3267
- Evanston v. Lexington, 4:09cv3011
- Hrdlicka v. Merry Maids, 4:09CV3012
- Wise v. Estate of Robert Shocker, 4:09cv3031
- Sell v. Am2Pat et al., 4:09CV3036
- Kyros v. North American Bioproducts, 4:09CV3041
- Brown v. Stafford Plaza, 4:09CV3042

Brown et al. v. Hilton Hotels Corp, 4:09CV3044
Rush Creek v. Home Federal et al., 4:09CV3056
Hill v. Village of Loomis, 4:09CV3061
Gentry v. Duckwall-Alco, 4:09CV3062
Brown v. Grandmother's, 4:09cv3088
Morris et al. v. Koleaseco et al., 7:08CV5009
Fisher et al. v. Goynes et al., 8:07CV378

As to these cases, the court intends to cancel the planning conferences and enter a final progression order based on the parties' Rule 26(f) Report of Parties' Planning Conference. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) As to those cases listed above, unless a party files a motion to request a telephonic planning conference on or before July 10, 2009, no planning conference will be held to discuss the scheduling of the case to trial, and a final progression order will be entered based on the representations set forth in the parties' Rule 26(f) Report.
- 2) The clerk is directed to place a copy of this order in the docket for each of the cases identified above.

DATED this 1st day of July, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/Richard G. Kopf
United States District Judge