IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

STEPHEN J. SPRAGUE,)	4:09CV3214
D1.:)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
HILLIARD ENGERGY, LTD.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on the findings and recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge Zwart on April 27, 2010 (filing <u>23</u>). Judge Zwart recommends that the plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for want of prosecution.

No objections have been filed to the findings and recommendation within the time permitted by <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 636(b)(1). In any event, I have conducted a de novo review and find that Judge Zwart has correctly found the facts and applied the law.

Pursuant to <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b)</u>, a dismissal for want of prosecution "operates as an adjudication on the merits" unless the court specifies otherwise. Considering that the plaintiff failed to comply with the court's order of March 23, 2010 (filing <u>17</u>), failed to respond to the defendant's motion to compel answers to interrogatories and production of documents filed on March 26, 2010 (filing <u>19</u>), failed to respond to the "show cause" order entered on April 15, 2010 (filing <u>22</u>), and failed to object to Judge Zwart's findings and recommendation (filing <u>23</u>), I conclude that the action should be dismissed with prejudice.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The magistrate judge's findings and recommendation (filing <u>23</u>) are adopted.
- 2. The plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with prejudice for want of prosecution.
- 3. Final judgment will be entered by separate document.

May 19, 2010. BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf

United States District Judge