

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

**FRED H. KELLER, JR., JUAN
ARMENTA, JUAN DOE, JUANA DOE
and JUANA DOE #2,**)
)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF FREMONT,)
)
Defendant.)

8:10cv270-LSC-FG3

**AMENDED ORDER SETTING
FINAL SCHEDULE FOR
PROGRESSION OF CASE**

**MARIO MARTINEZ, JR., PAULA
MERCADO, MARTIN MERCADO,
JANE DOE, MARIA ROE, STEVEN
DAHL, ACLU NEBRASKA
FOUNDATION, and UNITED FOOD
AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS
UNION, LOCAL 22,**)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
**CITY OF FREMONT; DEAN F.
SKOKAN, JR., in his official capacity
as Fremont City Attorney; and
TIMOTHY MULLEN, in his official
capacity as Fremont Chief of Police,**)
)
Defendants.)

4:10cv3140-LSC-FG3

**AMENDED ORDER SETTING
FINAL SCHEDULE FOR
PROGRESSION OF CASE**

These cases are before the court on the Martinez and Keller Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion to Amend Progression Order (#116).

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the court's earlier, initial progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, the motion's requested extensions will be granted:

1. **Motions for Summary Judgment.** Motions for summary judgment shall be filed not later than **December 19, 2011**. See NECivR 56.1 and 7.0.1.

2. **Discovery Deadlines:**

a. **Deposition Deadline.** With respect to expert witnesses, all depositions, whether or not they are intended to be used at trial, shall be completed by **December 9, 2011**.

b. **Discovery Deadline.** All interrogatories, requests for admission and requests for production or inspection, whether or not they are intended to be used at trial, shall be served sufficiently early to allow rule time response before the deposition deadline. Counsel may stipulate to extensions of time to respond to discovery requests in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 29, but such extensions shall not extend any of the dates in this order; **any request to extend the deadlines of this order shall be sought by motion.**

c. **Discovery Motions.** Discovery motions shall be filed not later than September 1, 2011 as to matters which are then ripe for decision; discovery matters arising after that date may be the subject of motions until the deposition deadline, December 9, 2011. Counsel are reminded of the provisions of NECivR 7.0.1(i).

3. **Disclosure of Expert Witnesses.**¹ Each plaintiff, counter-claimant, and cross-claimant shall, as soon as practicable but not later than **July 19, 2011**, serve all opposing parties with the statement required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) regarding each expert witness it expects to call to testify at trial pursuant to the provisions of Rule 702, 703 or 705, Fed. Rules of Evidence. Each defendant, counter-defendant, and cross-defendant shall serve its statement of the expert witnesses it expects to call to testify pursuant to Rule

¹A treating physician must be identified pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A), but a treating physician is not deemed to be "retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in a case" so as to require a written report under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B).

702, 703 or 705, Fed. Rules of Evidence, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) as soon thereafter as practicable, but not later than. If necessary to refute the disclosed opinions of an expert witness of an opponent, a plaintiff, counter-claimant, or cross-claimant may disclose additional expert witnesses not later than, provided that the disclosing party then provides all of the information described in Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2) and makes the expert witness available for deposition prior to the date set for completion of depositions. Supplementation of these disclosures, if originally made prior to these deadlines, shall be made on these deadlines as to any information for which supplementation is addressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e). The testimony of the expert at trial shall be limited to the information disclosed in accordance with this paragraph.

4. **Pretrial Disclosures.** Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3), each party shall serve opposing counsel and file a redacted version as applicable with the following information regarding the evidence it may present at trial other than solely for impeachment purposes as soon as practicable but not later than the date specified:

a. **Nonexpert Witnesses - On or before December 9, 2011:** The name, address and telephone number² of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present and those whom the party may call if the need arises.

b. **Deposition Testimony and Discovery** - The designation of discovery testimony and discovery responses intended to be utilized at trial is not required for this case. Motions to require such designations may be filed not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the deposition deadline.

c. **Trial Exhibits - On or before December 9, 2011:** A list of all exhibits it expects to offer by providing a numbered listing and permitting examination of such exhibits, designating on the list those exhibits it may offer only if the need arises.

d. **Waiver of Objections.** Any and all objections to the use of the witnesses, deposition testimony, discovery responses, or exhibits disclosed pursuant to the above subparagraphs, including any objection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a) that

²In accordance with the E-Government Act, counsel shall, on witness lists, exhibits, and other disclosures and/or **documents filed with the court**, redact Social Security numbers, home addresses, telephone numbers, and other personally identifying information of witnesses, but shall serve an unredacted version on opposing parties. See NECivR 5.0.3.

a deponent is available to testify at the trial, shall be made a part of the pretrial order. Failure to list objections (except those under Fed. R. Evid. 402 and 403) is a waiver of such objections, unless excused by the court for good cause shown.

5. Motions in Limine.

a. Motions in limine challenging the admissibility of expert testimony at trial under Fed. R. Evid. 702, *see Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael*, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), and *Daubert v. Merrell-Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), shall be filed by **January 6, 2012** and accompanied by a request for a hearing if necessary. Failure to timely move for a hearing may constitute waiver of the request for a hearing.

b. Any other motions in limine shall be filed on or before **February 1, 2012**.

6. The **Final Pretrial Conference** with the undersigned magistrate judge is set for **March 8, 2012 at 9:30 A.M.**, in chambers, 111 South 18th Plaza, Suite 2210, Roman L. Hruska United States Courthouse, Omaha, Nebraska. The final pretrial conference shall be attended by lead counsel for represented parties. Counsel shall complete prior to the pretrial conference, all items as directed in NECivR 16.2.³ By the time of the pretrial conference, full preparation for trial shall have been made so that trial may begin immediately thereafter. The pretrial conference will include a discussion of settlement, and counsel shall be prepared through investigation, discovery and communication with clients and insurers, if any, to discuss fully the subject of settlement, including realistic expectations about liability, obstacles to agreement, offers made, and offers which can be made at the conference. Counsel shall be prepared to make additional offers or proposals for settlement in behalf of their clients at the pretrial conference, and counsel shall be prepared to make or opine on recommendations for further negotiations and conferences.

7. **A Non-Jury trial** is set to commence, at the court's call, during the week of **April 10, 2012**, in **Omaha**, Nebraska, before the **Honorable Laurie Smith Camp**, United States District Judge.

³All personal information should be redacted from the public version of the order and/or attachments filed with the Clerk. See NECivR 5.0.3.

8. Motions to Alter Dates. All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the magistrate judge by appropriate motion, including all requests for changes of trial dates. Such motions shall not be considered in the absence of a showing by counsel of due diligence in the timely development of this case for trial and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

DATED October 31, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

**s/ F.A. Gossett, III
United States Magistrate Judge**