

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

DONNELL KING,)	4:11CV3067
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER REGARDING
ROBERT P. HOUSTON, Director,)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
and DENNIS BAKEWELL, Warden,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

On January 23, 2012, I dismissed Donnell King’s habeas corpus claims and entered judgment against him. (Filing Nos. [25](#) and [26](#).) On January 31, 2012, Donnell King (King), the petitioner, filed a Notice of Appeal. (Filing No. [27](#).)

However, before King may appeal the dismissal of his Petition Under 18 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus, a “Certificate of Appealability” must issue. Pursuant to the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 (“AEDPA”), the right to appeal such a dismissal is governed by [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#), which states:

- (c)(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—
 - (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court;

- (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

- (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph(2).¹

A certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)\(2\)](#). Such a showing requires a demonstration “that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.” [Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 \(2000\)](#) (internal quotation marks omitted), citing [Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 894 \(1983\)](#) (defining pre-AEDPA standard for a certificate of probable cause to appeal).

King has not filed a motion for a Certificate of Appealability or a brief in support. (See Docket Sheet.) Thus, this matter cannot proceed on appeal until the question of the certificate of appealability is considered.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Donnell King shall have until March 6, 2012, to file a motion for Certificate of Appealability and brief in support.
2. In the event that King fails to file a motion and brief, as set forth in this Memorandum and Order Regarding Notice of Appeal, the court will deny the issuance of a Certificate of Appealability without further notice.

¹Similarly, [Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22\(b\)](#), as amended by the AEDPA, indicates that in an action pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#), a notice of appeal triggers the requirement that the district judge who rendered the judgment either issue a certificate of appealability or state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. See generally [Tiedeman v. Benson, 122 F.3d 518, 521 \(8th Cir. 1997\)](#).

3. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management in this case with the following text: March 6, 2012: check for filing of motion for Certificate of Appealability.

Dated February 6, 2012.

BY THE COURT

s/ Warren K. Urbom
United States Senior District Judge