



Here, there is no indication that Plaintiff complied with the proper procedure for removal set forth in the federal rules. As such, his “Motion to Transfer” (Filing No. [8](#)) will be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time (Filing No. [7](#)) is denied without prejudice to reassertion; and
2. Plaintiff’s “Motion to Transfer” (Filing No. [8](#)) is denied.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp  
United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.