Aguilar Doc. 5

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

BILLY R. AGUILAR,	) CASE NO. 4:12CV315
Petitioner,	) )
V.	) ) MEMORANDUM ) AND ORDER
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEBRASKA,	) )
Respondent.	)

This matter is before the court on its own motion. On September 12, 2012, the court entered a Memorandum and Order provisionally filing this matter but requiring Petitioner to either file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$5.00 filing fee no later than October 11, 2012. (Filing No. 3.) The court warned Petitioner that failure to comply with its Memorandum and Order would result in dismissal of this matter without further notice. (Id.) Petitioner failed to pay the \$5.00 filing fee or file a response to the court's September 12, 2012, Memorandum and Order. (See Docket Sheet.)

On October 23, 2012, the court gave Petitioner until November 19, 2012, to show good cause or excusable neglect for his failure to comply with the court's September 12, 2012, Memorandum and Order. (Filing No. 4.) Petitioner failed to respond. (See Docket Sheet.) Accordingly,

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. This matter is dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the court's filing fee and for failure to comply with court orders; and

2. A separate judgment will be entered in accordance with this Memorandum and Order.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp Chief United States District Judge

<sup>\*</sup>This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.