Zuck v. Peart et al Doc. 90

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| WILLIAM ZUCK, | ) | 4:12CV3252 |
| | ) | |
| Plaintiff, | ) | |
| | ) | |
| v. | ) | **MEMORANDUM** |
| | ) | **AND ORDER** |
| MARIO PEART, et al., | ) | |
| | ) | |
| Defendants. | ) | |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider the court's previous Orders dated March 3, 2014, and April 21, 2014. (Filing Nos. 64 and 82.) Upon careful review of Plaintiff's Motion and the court's Orders, the court finds no reason to reconsider any portion of its previous orders.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: Plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration and Reassertion of Motion for Appointment of Counsel" (Filing No. 83) is denied.

DATED this 2nd day of June, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.

Dockets.Justia.com