



The fact that Plaintiff's counsel has alerted the court to a recent publicly available similar case, [Burke v. Glanz, No. 11-CV-720, 2016 WL 3951364 \(N.D. Okla. July 20, 2016\)](#), prejudices no one, especially since Ruiz's motion for summary judgment has been pending for several months while the parties have been engaged in settlement negotiations and proceedings, and new case law may have developed since briefing. If Ruiz wishes to present additional case law to the court, she may certainly do so.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. If defendant Ruiz wishes to bring additional case law to the court's attention relevant to her pending motion for summary judgment (Filing [236](#)), she may do so via letter brief, filed on or before August 8, 2016;
2. The motion for summary judgment (Filing [236](#)) filed by defendant Ruiz shall be considered ripe for resolution on August 9, 2016, and shall be handled in the normal course of business;
3. Defendant's objection (Filing [328](#)) to Plaintiff's counsel's second letter to the court and the attached case law (Filing [327](#)) is denied.

DATED this 28th day of July, 2016.

BY THE COURT:  
*s/ Richard G. Kopf*  
Senior United States District Judge