

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

CARLOS FIGUEROA HIGAREDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner
of Social Security;

Defendant.

4:15CV3135

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion for attorney fees in the amount of \$4,603.61 under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), [28 U.S.C. § 2412\(d\)](#). [Filing No. 26](#). The defendant filed no objection to this motion.

The EAJA provides for attorney fees if: "(1) the person is a prevailing party; (2) the individual's net worth did not exceed \$2,000,000 at the time the civil action was filed; and (3) the fees and expenses were 'incurred by that party in [the] civil action' in which it prevailed." [United States S.E.C. v. Zahareas](#), 374 F.3d 624, 630 (8th Cir. 2004) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A)-(2)(B)). The fees awarded must be reasonable. A reasonable fee under [28 U.S.C. § 2412](#) does not exceed "\$125 per hour unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(D)(2)(A)(ii).

Furthermore, for a successful Social Security claimant to collect attorney fees, the Commissioner's position must lack substantial justification. Substantial justification occurs when the Commissioner's position has a clearly reasonable basis in law and fact. The Commissioner bears the burden of proving that her position is substantially justified. [Goad v. Barnhart](#), 398 F.3d 1021, 1025 (8th Cir. 2005). In this instance, the Commissioner does not argue that her position was substantially justified.

The Court finds that the plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees under the EAJA. The plaintiff is the prevailing party. Additionally, the plaintiff's net worth did not exceed \$2,000,000 at the time the civil action was filed. [Filing No. 26 at 1](#). The plaintiff requests attorney's fees totaling \$4,603.61 for 23.90 hours of work. The hourly rate requested is \$192.62. The plaintiff first bases this request on the fact that the cost of living has increased substantially since 1996. [Filing No. 26 at 5](#). An increase for the cost of living is generally allowed. *Johnson v. Sullivan*, 919 F.2d 503, 508-10 (8th Cir. 1990). The Court finds that the increase in cost of living justifies a fee higher than \$125 an hour and that the rates requested by the plaintiff are reasonable. The Court has carefully reviewed the hours submitted and finds them to be reasonable in all respects. [Filing No. 26 at 6](#). Thus, the Court concludes that both the amount requested and the hours expended are reasonable.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The plaintiff's motion for attorney fees, [Filing No. 26](#), is granted.
2. Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, attorney fees in the amount of \$4,603.61 are to be paid directly to the plaintiff's attorney, less any offset to satisfy a pre-existing debt to the United States.
3. A separate judgment shall be entered in conjunction with this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this 24th day of April, 2017.

BY THE COURT:
s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge