



of Plaintiff's prison term, the court will reconsider its December 4, 2009, Memorandum and Order. Specifically, the court will stay this matter until Plaintiff informs the court that he has been released from prison and is able to try the damages issue or until March 5, 2012, whichever occurs first. If Plaintiff has not been released from prison by March 5, 2012, he may file a motion by April 5, 2012, requesting that the court address the damages issue. If Plaintiff files such a motion he shall explain the circumstances of his confinement and why he could not try the damages issue sooner. If Plaintiff fails to file such a motion the court may dismiss this case without further notice.

Also pending is Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal of the court's January 14, 2010, Memorandum and Order. (Filing No. [61](#).) Because the court has not entered a final judgment in this matter, it liberally construes Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal as a Motion for Interlocutory Appeal. As set forth in [28 U.S.C. § 1292\(b\)](#), an interlocutory appeal is warranted if the decision sought to be appealed involves a controlling question of law as to which substantial grounds for difference of opinion exist, so that an immediate appeal could materially advance the ultimate termination of this litigation. [28 U.S.C. §1292\(b\)](#).

Here, no such "controlling question of law" is implicated. The court's January 14, 2010, Memorandum and Order does not involve controlling questions of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion. Therefore, there is no reason why the present appeal should proceed prior to the entry of a final judgment. For these reasons, Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal, construed as a Motion for Interlocutory Appeal, is denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (filing no. [60](#)) is granted.

2. This matter shall be stayed until Plaintiff informs the court that he has been released from prison and is able to try the damages issue or until March 5, 2012, whichever occurs first. If Plaintiff has not been released from prison by March 5, 2012, he may file a motion by April 5, 2012, requesting that the court address the damages issue. If Plaintiff files such a motion he shall explain the circumstances of his confinement and why he could not try the damages issue sooner. If Plaintiff fails to file such a motion the court may dismiss this case without further notice.

3. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal (filing no. [61](#)), construed as a Motion for Interlocutory Appeal, is denied.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*  
United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.