

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 V.)
)
 \$231,930.00 IN UNITED STATES)
 CURRENCY,)
)
 Defendant,)
)
 BARTOSZ MAREK KUPEZYK,)
)
 Claimant.)
 _____)

8:08CV235

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

On February 27, 2009, Magistrate Judge Piester entered a memorandum and order ([filing 34](#)) denying Claimant’s motion to suppress ([filing 18](#)). Through his motion, Claimant requested that the court suppress all evidence obtained as a result of a traffic stop and search of Claimant’s vehicle and, specifically, the currency which is named as the defendant in this action. Claimant has filed an appeal from Judge Piester’s order as allowed by [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(A\)](#).

Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(A\)](#), the court has reviewed¹ the portions of the memorandum and order to which objections have been made, and finds that the objections should be denied for the reason that Judge Piester’s order is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Accordingly,

¹ To the extent the appropriate standard of review is de novo, I have conducted a de novo review.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Judge Piester's memorandum and order ([filing 34](#)) shall not be disturbed and is hereby sustained; and
2. Claimant's appeal from Judge Piester's order ([filing 36](#)) is denied.

May 19, 2009.

BY THE COURT:
s/Richard G. Kopf
United States District Judge