

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
LUFKIN DIVISION

ROBERT L. RANGEL, ET AL.	§	
Vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:07CV211
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	§	

ORDER

On January 17, 2008, Plaintiffs filed an [Agreed Motion to Modify Scheduling Order \(document #12\)](#). Plaintiffs assert that the parties have agreed to modify deadlines in the case without affecting the trial date. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the [Agreed Motion to Modify Scheduling Order \(document #12\)](#) is **GRANTED**. The following deadlines are modified as set out below:

<b>August 4, 2008</b>	Date parties should be prepared to try the case (docket call to be set by Judge Heartfield)
<b>July 14, 2008</b>	Motions <i>in limine</i> , Joint Final Pretrial Order, jointly proposed jury instructions and form of the verdict and objections to depositions or exhibits
<b>July 7, 2008</b>	Pretrial disclosures
<b>June 27, 2008</b>	Response to dispositive motions (including <i>Daubert</i> motions). Responses to motions that are filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e).

<b>June 13, 2008</b>	Filing dispositive motions and any other motions that may require a hearing (including <i>Daubert</i> motions)
<b>May 30, 2008</b>	Discovery deadline
<b>May 23, 2008</b>	Mediation deadline
<b>May 19, 2008</b>	Respondent to designate expert witnesses and provide FRCP 26(a)(2)(B) report
<b>April 18, 2008</b>	Party with burden of proof on the issue to designate expert witnesses and provide FRCP 26(a)(2)(B) report

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **23** day of **January, 2008**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDITH K. GUTHRIE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE