

**WILLIAM GREEN, and JOANN GREEN,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**V.**

**SUNSET FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., and BRYAN S. BEHRENS,**

**Defendants.**

## ORDER

Consequently, in advance of ruling on the motion to compel, the court needs Plaintiff to explain how each amended response resolves the alleged defects with the document production. Essentially, the court wants to know whether all responsive documents have been produced and, if not, why. Plaintiff need not, at least at this time, provide a log detailing exactly which documents have been withheld or explain why any withheld documents are shielded from production by the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine.

Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. By or before March 5, 2012, Plaintiff shall provide the court with a memorandum advising the court, with respect to each individual document production request, whether all responsive documents have been produced and, if not, an explanation why. As mentioned above, Plaintiff need not at this time argue the merits of its attorney client privilege or work product objections.
2. By March 9, 2012, Sunset shall advise the court, based on Plaintiff's responses, whether its concerns with respect to each individual document production request has been alleviated.
3. The court advises the parties that, given its need for additional information, the order on Sunset's motion to compel will be issued later than March 9, 2012. However, upon receiving the requested materials, the court will immediately resume its evaluation of the motion and issue an order promptly.

**DATED February 28, 2012.**

**BY THE COURT:**

**S/ F.A. Gossett  
United States Magistrate Judge**