

NATHANIEL DECKARD, JR.,)	CASE NO. 8:09CV348
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
NEBRASKA BOARD OF PAROLE,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Here, Petitioner simply reargues the merits of his case. In particular, Petitioner generally objects to, and asserts various arguments and legal theories regarding, the court's Memorandum and Order which dismissed Petitioner's claims as procedurally defaulted. (Filing Nos. [18](#) and [19](#).) However, Petitioner does not point to any manifest error or new evidence which could not have been raised prior to the entry of judgment. As such, Petitioner is not entitled to relief under [Rule 59\(e\)](#).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: Petitioner's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment (Filing No. [20](#)) is denied.

DATED this 20th day of April, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.