

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ANDREW A. HERZOG,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
STATE OF NEBRASKA, and)
NORFOLK REGIONAL CENTER, et)
al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CASE NO. 8:09CV378

MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (“IFP”). (Filing No. [2](#).) Upon review of the record in this case, the court finds that Plaintiff is financially eligible to proceed IFP, and the court will presume that Plaintiff is not a prisoner for the purposes of the Prison Litigation Reform Act (“PLRA”).

The term “prisoner” under the PLRA “means any person incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of, convicted of, sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms and conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program.” [Kolocotronis v. Morgan, 247 F.3d 726, 728 \(8th Cir. 2001\)](#) (citing [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(h\)](#)). A person involuntarily committed as a mental patient, and not as a result of a criminal conviction, is not a “prisoner” for the purposes of the PLRA. *Id.*; see also [Jackson v. Johnson, 475 F.3d 261, 266 \(5th Cir. 2007\)](#); [Willis v. Smith, No. C04-4012-MWB, 2005 WL 550528, *9 \(N.D. Iowa 2005\)](#).

The record does not disclose whether Plaintiff’s current commitment to the Norfolk Regional Center arises from any criminal conviction. However, to facilitate a more expeditious resolution of this case, the court will presume that Plaintiff is residing at the Norfolk Regional Center as a patient and not as the result of a criminal conviction.

Upon review of the record, Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed IFP (Filing No. [2](#)) is granted, and Plaintiff is relieved from payment of the filing fee in this matter.

DATED this 28th day of January, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.