

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PAM ASCHENBRENNER,)
)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

8:10-CV-00153-LSC-FG3

DOLGENCORP, INC., DOLLAR)
GENERAL PARTNERS,)
DOLGENCORP OF NEW YORK, INC.,)
and DOLGENCORP OF TEXAS, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

CONSOLIDATION ORDER

HAYLEY BACON,)
)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

8:10-CV-00155-LSC-FG3

DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CONSOLIDATION ORDER

BRIAN BURNS,)
)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

8:10-CV-00156-LSC-FG3

DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CONSOLIDATION ORDER

LONNY EVANS,)
)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

8:10-CV-00157-LSC-FG3

DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CONSOLIDATION ORDER

DEBRA MEREDITH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00158-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
THERESA CARLIN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00159-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
NANCY NEWTON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00160-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
DONALD ROBINSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00161-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

LACHELLE ROBINSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00162-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
ANN RUMBAUGH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	8:10-CV-00163-LSC-FG3
vs.)	
)	CONSOLIDATION ORDER
DOLGENCORP, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Following a conference with counsel on May 12, 2010, the court finds that consolidation pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) is warranted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Cases Nos. 8:10CV153, 8:10CV155, 8:10CV156, 8:10CV157, 8:10CV158, 8:10CV159, 8:10CV160, 8:10CV161, 8:10CV162, and 8:10CV163 are hereby consolidated for all purposes, without prejudice to a party moving for severance for trial or disposition by summary judgment.

2. Case No. 8:10CV153 is hereby designated as the "Lead Case." Cases Nos. 8:10CV155, 8:10CV156, 8:10CV157, 8:10CV158, 8:10CV159, 8:10CV160, 8:10CV161, 8:10CV162, and 8:10CV163 are hereby designated as the "Member Cases."

3. The court's CM/ECF System has the capacity for "spreading" text among the consolidated cases. If properly docketed, the documents filed in the Lead Case will automatically be filed in all Member Cases. To this end, the parties are instructed to file all further documents (except those described in paragraph 4) in the Lead Case, No. 8:10CV153, and to select the option "yes" in response to the System's question whether to spread the text.

4. The parties may not use the spread text feature to file complaints, amended complaints, and answers; to pay filing fees electronically using pay.gov; or to file items related to service of process.

5. If a party believes that an item in addition to those described in paragraph 4 should not be filed in all the consolidated cases, the party must move for permission to file the item in one or more member cases. The motion must be filed in all the consolidated cases using the spread text feature.

DATED May 12, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

**s/ F.A. Gossett
United States Magistrate Judge**