

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ANDREW A. HERZOG,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
DAWN P. DANIELS, LENNY)
DANILES, RONALD HEUCK,)
JEANIE HEUCK, DAN CARLSON,)
and PHILIS CARLSON,)
)
Defendants.)

CASE NO. 8:11CV60

MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration, which the court liberally construes as a Motion for Relief Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b). (Filing No. [18](#).) Along with his Motion, Plaintiff has filed a Brief in Support. (Filing No. [19](#).)

On August 10, 2011, the court dismissed Plaintiff’s Complaint and entered Judgment against him. (Filing Nos. [16](#) and [17](#).) Liberally construed, Plaintiff seeks relief from the court’s Judgment pursuant to [Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60\(b\)\(6\)](#). (Filing No. [18](#).) [Rule 60\(b\)\(6\)](#) “grants federal courts broad authority to relieve a party from a final judgment ‘upon such terms as are just,’ provided that the motion is made within a reasonable time and is not premised on one of the grounds for relief enumerated in clauses (b)(1) through (b)(5).” [Liljeberg v. Health Serv. Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847, 863 \(1988\)](#). However “[r]elief is available under Rule 60(b)(6) only where exceptional circumstances have denied the moving party a full and fair opportunity to litigate his claim and have prevented the moving party from receiving adequate redress.” [Harley v. Zoesch, 413 F.3d 866, 871 \(8th Cir. 2005\)](#).

The court has carefully reviewed Plaintiff's Motion and Brief. Plaintiff has not set forth any "exceptional circumstances" that prevented him from fully litigating his claims or receiving adequate redress. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. [18](#)), liberally construed as a Motion for Relief Under Rule 60(b), is denied.

DATED this 26th day of April, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
Chief United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.