Young v. City of Omaha et al Doc. 21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

JARVIS YOUNG,	)	**CASE NO. 8:11CV387**
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	**MEMORANDUM**
	)	**AND ORDER**
CITY OF OMAHA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel. (Filing No. 20.) In *Davis v. Scott*, 94 F.3d 444, 447 (8th Cir. 1996), the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals explained that "[i]ndigent civil litigants do not have a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel. . . . The trial court has broad discretion to decide whether both the plaintiff and the court will benefit from the appointment of counsel . . . ." *Id.* (quotation and citation omitted). No such benefit is apparent here. The request for the appointment of counsel is therefore denied without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel Filing No. 20) is denied.

DATED this14th day of June, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
Chief United States District Judge

---

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.

Dockets.Justia.com