

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

DANNY D. REAVES,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 JONATHAN ROBITAILLE, FBI)
 Agent is sued in his individual)
 capacity, MATT CHANDLER,)
 Omaha Police Officer is sued in his)
 individual capacity, FRANCIS)
 GALLO, Bellevue Police Officer is)
 sued in his individual capacity,)
 LAURIE BURGESS, Sarpy County)
 Prosecutor is sued in her individual)
 capacity, and UNKNOWN LAW)
 ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS,)
 Unknown Law Enforcement Officers)
 is sued in their individual capacity,)
)
 Defendants.)

8:13CV141

**MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Status and “Request for enlargement of time to serve defendants.” (Filing Nos. [9](#) and [10](#).) In Plaintiff’s Motion for Status, Plaintiff seeks information on the status of his Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and the payment of his initial partial filing fee. (Filing No. [10](#).) On June 11, 2013, the court granted Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and ordered Plaintiff to pay an initial partial filing fee in the amount of \$54.12. (Filing No. [8](#).) The court received payment of Plaintiff’s initial partial filing fee on July 9, 2013. (Docket Sheet.)

The next step in Plaintiff’s case is for the court to conduct an initial review of Plaintiff’s claims to determine whether summary dismissal is appropriate under [28](#)

[U.S.C. § 1915\(e\)\(2\)](#). The court will conduct this initial review in its normal course of business. If the court determines that this matter may proceed to service of process, the court will extend the time in which Plaintiff has to serve Defendants.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's "Request for enlargement of time to serve defendants" (Filing No. [9](#)) is denied. If the court determines that this matter may proceed to service of process, the court will extend the time in which Plaintiff has to serve Defendants.

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Status (Filing No. [10](#)) is granted to the extent it is consistent with this Memorandum and Order.

DATED this 30th day of August, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.