

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

DOYLE TUCKER, and NEVA TUCKER;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

VICTOR PEREZ; et. al;

Defendants.

**8:13CV367**

**ORDER**

Non-parties Farm Credit Services of America, FLCA and Farm Credit Services of America, PCA (collectively referred to as “FCSA”) object to a subpoena issued by plaintiffs Doyle Tucker and Neva Tucker which commanded FCSA to produce all documents and loan files for defendants MF&R, Inc. and Meyers Brothers. FCSA also moves for an order protecting its rights and the rights of non-parties if the court decides to enforce the subpoena. ([Filing No. 83](#)).

As explained in its filing, defendants MF&R, Inc. and Meyers Brothers have not consented to releasing the requested documents, production has not been ordered by the court, and the requested documents include confidential information of non-parties who have neither consented to disclosing their documents nor been notified of the subpoena. FCSA states that before the court enters an order enforcing the subpoena, non-parties should be notified and afforded an opportunity to object, the parties and their counsel should be subject to a protective order to preserve the confidentiality of the documents produced and to prohibit the use of those documents in any other proceedings, and the plaintiffs should be ordered to reimburse FCSA for the costs FCSA will incur to review the documents and redact confidential non-party information. ([Filing No. 83, at CM/ECF p. 3, ¶ 8](#)).

FCSA filed its objection and request for relief on November 12, 2014. More than 14 days have passed and no response has been filed. The plaintiffs' subpoena demanded production on November 21, 2014. FCSA did not comply, and the plaintiffs did not file a motion to compel.

After considering FCSA's objection and arguments,

IT IS ORDERED that the Objection to Subpoena filed by Farm Credit Services of America, ([Filing No. 83](#)), is sustained.

December 1, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Cheryl R. Zwart*  
United States Magistrate Judge

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.