

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MELLISA BROOKS,	)	8:14CV33
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>MEMORANDUM</b>
	)	<b>AND ORDER</b>
CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s Notice of Dismissal. (Filing No. [21](#).) Pursuant to [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41](#), a plaintiff may dismiss an action by filing a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment, or by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41\(a\)\(1\)](#). In addition, a court may grant a request for voluntary dismissal “on terms that the court considers proper.” [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41\(a\)\(2\)](#).

Plaintiff filed her Notice of Dismissal on June 5, 2014. (Filing No. [21](#).) In her Notice, Plaintiff asks the court to dismiss this matter because “the Social Security Administration calculated and paid benefits to Brooks—rendering this matter moot.” (*Id.*) Defendant has not served an answer or a motion for summary judgment. (*See* Docket Sheet.) In light of this, and in accordance with [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41\(a\)](#), this matter is dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. This matter is dismissed without prejudice.

2. A separate judgment will be entered in accordance with this Memorandum and Order.

DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*  
Senior United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.