

15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule 15.1 of the local rules of this court.

B. Motion to Appoint Counsel

Plaintiff seeks the appointment of counsel. (Filing No. [30](#).) The court cannot routinely appoint counsel in civil cases. In [Davis v. Scott, 94 F.3d 444, 447 \(8th Cir. 1996\)](#), the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals explained that “[i]ndigent civil litigants do not have a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel. The trial court has broad discretion to decide whether both the plaintiff and the court will benefit from the appointment of counsel[.]” *Id.* (internal citation and quotation marks omitted). No such benefit is apparent here at this time. Thus, the request for the appointment of counsel will be denied without prejudice to reassertion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff must file an amended complaint within 30 days that identifies each defendant by name and states all of his claims (and any supporting factual allegations) against that defendant. Failure to do so will result in the court dismissing this action without prejudice and without further notice for failure to prosecute it.
2. Plaintiff is *ordered not to file* any other amended pleadings or supplements to his pleadings, aside from the one contemplated in the preceding paragraph, without first obtaining leave of the court as required by Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule 15.1 of the local rules of this court.
3. Plaintiff’s Motion to Appoint Counsel (Filing No. [30](#)) is denied.

4. In light of the foregoing, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. [18](#)) and Motions to Amend Complaint (Filing Nos. [32](#) and [35](#)), and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Filing No. [23](#)) are denied as moot.

DATED this 7th day of April, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.