IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

HENRY DELGADO,)	8:14CV402
Petitioner,))	
V.))	MEMORANDUM
MICHAEL L. KENNEY,)	AND ORDER
Respondent.)	

This matter is before the court on its own motion. Petitioner filed his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition") on December 11, 2014 (Filing No. <u>1</u>). Due to certain technical defects, the Petition cannot be further processed. To assure further consideration of the Petition, Petitioner must correct the defect listed below. **FAILURE TO CORRECT THE DEFECT WILL RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE PETITION.**

Petitioner has failed to include the \$5.00 filing fee. Petitioner has the choice of either submitting the \$5.00 fee to the Clerk of Court or submitting a request to proceed in forma pauperis. If Petitioner chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper's forms should be completed and returned to this court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner is directed to correct the above-listed technical defect within 30 days of the date of this Memorandum and Order. Failure to comply with this Memorandum and Order will result in dismissal of this matter without further notice.

2. The Clerk of Court is directed to send to Petitioner the Form AO240 ("Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit").

3. The Clerk of Court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline

in this matter with the following text: January 16, 2015: Check for MIFP or payment.

DATED this 15th day of December, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf Senior United States District Judge

^{*}This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.