

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

JERRIMIE G. CRIPPEN,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 v.)
)
 STATE OF NEBRASKA, SCOTT)
 FRAKES, Director of the Nebraska)
 Department of Corrections, and)
 ROBERT MADSEN, Warden, of the)
 Nebraska State Penitentiary,)
)
 Respondents.)

8:15CV238

**MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER**

This matter is before the court on its own motion. Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Filing No. [1](#)) on June 29, 2015. However, Petitioner failed to include the \$5.00 filing fee. Petitioner has the choice of either submitting the \$5.00 fee to the clerk’s office or submitting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. If Petitioner chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper’s forms should be completed and returned to this court. Failure to take either action within 30 days will result in the court dismissing this case without further notice to Petitioner.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner is directed to submit the \$5.00 fee to the clerk’s office or submit a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* within 30 days. Failure to take either action will result in dismissal of this matter without further notice.

2. The clerk of the court is directed to send to Petitioner the Form AO240 (“Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit”).

3. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this matter with the following text: August 10, 2015: Check for MIFP or payment.

DATED this 10th day of July, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.