

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

LAMAR BERTUCCI,)	8:15CV282
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
EDITH SIMPSON, MARK WEBB, and SANDRA DENTON,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (“IFP”). (Filing No. [2](#).) Plaintiff is permitted to proceed IFP.

Prisoner plaintiffs are required to pay the full amount of the court’s \$350.00 filing fee by making monthly payments to the court, even if the prisoner is proceeding IFP. [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)](#). The Prison Litigation Reform Act “makes prisoners responsible for their filing fees the moment the prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal.” *In re Tyler*, 110 F.3d 528, 529-30 (8th Cir. 1997); *Jackson v. N.P. Dodge Realty Co.*, 173 F. Supp. 2d 951 (D. Neb. 2001).

Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(1\)](#), Plaintiff must pay an initial partial filing fee in the amount of 20 percent of the greater of Plaintiff’s average monthly account balance or average monthly deposits for the six months preceding the filing of the Complaint. Here, the court finds the initial partial filing fee is \$70.48, based on average monthly deposits in the amount of \$352.24.¹ Plaintiff must pay this initial partial filing fee within

¹Plaintiff did not provide the court with a copy of his inmate trust account statement, and the accounting officer for the Federal Bureau of Prisons has not provided the court with a copy of Plaintiff’s inmate trust account information, despite two requests from this court’s clerk of the court for such information. In assessing Plaintiff’s initial partial filing fee, the court relies on Plaintiff’s sworn statement that he received

30 days or his case will be subject to dismissal. Plaintiff may request an extension of time if one is needed.

In addition to the initial partial filing fee, Plaintiff must “make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month’s income credited to the prisoner’s account.” [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(2\)](#). The statute places the burden on the prisoner’s institution to collect the additional monthly payments and forward them to the court as follows:

After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner shall be required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month’s income credited to the prisoner’s account. The agency having custody of the prisoner shall forward payments from the prisoner’s account to the clerk of the court each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the filing fees are paid.

[28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(2\)](#). Therefore, after payment in full of the initial partial filing fee, Plaintiff’s institution must collect the remaining installments of the filing fee and forward the payments to the court.

Plaintiff is advised he will remain responsible for the entire filing fee, as long as he is a prisoner, even if the case is dismissed at some later time. See [In re Tyler, 110 F.3d at 529-30](#); [Jackson, 173 F. Supp. 2d at 951](#).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Proceed IFP (Filing No. [2](#)) is granted.
2. Plaintiff must pay an initial partial filing fee of \$70.48 within 30 days, unless the court extends the time in which he has to pay in response to a written motion.

approximately \$2,113.43 in gifts from his family over the past six months.

3. After payment of the initial partial filing fee, Plaintiff's institution must collect the additional monthly payments in the manner set forth in [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(2\)](#), quoted above, and forward those payments to the court.

4. The clerk of the court is directed to send a copy of this order to the appropriate official at Plaintiff's institution.

5. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: December 7, 2015: initial partial filing fee payment due.

6. Plaintiff is advised that, following payment of the initial partial filing fee, the next step in Plaintiff's case will be for the court to conduct an initial review of Plaintiff's claims to determine whether summary dismissal is appropriate under [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(e\)\(2\)](#). The court will conduct this initial review in its normal course of business.

DATED this 3rd day of November, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.