

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

SUPERIOR SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

8:15CV396

vs.

ORDERUNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

ACI DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

8:15CV398

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., MIC
GENERAL INSURANCE CORP., and ALLY
INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

M.S.E. DISTRIBUTING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

8:15CV400

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

THOMAS HANLON ENTERPRISES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

8:15CV401

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

AUTOMOTIVE DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

8:15CV402

EARL DANIELS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS INC.,

Defendants.

8:16CV276

GAINES FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

8:16CV328

INSURED DEALER SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and
ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS INC.,

Defendants.

8:16CV346

<p>VISION MARKETING GROUP & ASSOCIATES, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>8:16CV361</p>
<p>AUTO CARE EXTENDED SERVICE CORPORATION,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>8:16CV362</p>
<p>AUTOMOTIVE RESOURCES, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>UNIVERSAL WARRANTY CORP., and ALLY INSURANCE HOLDINGS INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>8:16CV416</p>

This matter is before the Court on the Joint Motion to Amend Progression Order (Case No. 8:15-cv-396, [Filing No. 175](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-416, [Filing No. 139](#); Case No. 8:15-cv-400, [Filing No. 175](#); Case No. 8:15-cv-401, [Filing No. 182](#); Case No. 8:15-cv-402, [Filing No. 173](#); Case No. 8:15-cv-398, [Filing No. 175](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-276, [Filing No. 145](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-328, [Filing No. 145](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-346, [Filing No. 144](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-361, [Filing No. 143](#); Case No. 8:16-cv-362, [Filing No. 143](#)). Upon consideration, the motion is granted.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. On or before June 4, 2018, the parties shall disclose non-expert witnesses in Case No. 8:15-cv-402. On or before August 6, 2018, the parties shall disclose non-expert witnesses in Case No. 8:16-cv-361. On or before September 4, 2018, the parties shall disclose non-expert witnesses in Case No. 8:15-cv-401.

2. On or before June 18, 2018, the parties shall file motions in limine, other than those challenging the admissibility of expert testimony, in Case No. 8:15-cv-402. On or before August 20, 2018, the parties shall file motions in limine, other than those challenging the admissibility of expert testimony, in Case No. 8:16-cv-361. On or before September 17, 2018, the parties shall file motions in limine, other than those challenging the admissibility of expert testimony, in Case No. 8:15-cv-401.

Dated this 25th day of May, 2018.

BY THE COURT:

S/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge