

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

KATHERINE BELCASTRO-GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF OMAHA, a Municipal Corporation;
TODD SCHMADERER, Chief of Police of the
Omaha Police Department, in his official and
individual Capacity; JEAN STOTHERT,
Mayor of the City of Omaha; and TIM
YOUNG, Former Human Relations Director
for the City of Omaha, in his official and
individual Capacity;

Defendants.

8:19CV572

**AMENDED FINAL
PROGRESSION ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time of Progression Order Dates. ([Filing No. 41.](#)) The motion is granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's previous final progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, progression shall be amended as follows:

- 1) The trial and pretrial conference will not be set at this time. The status conference to discuss **case progression, the parties' interest in settlement, and the trial and pretrial conference settings** remains as scheduled and will be held with the undersigned magistrate judge by telephone on **December 6, 2021** at **10:00 a.m.** Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. ([Filing No. 42.](#))
- 2) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **August 2, 2021**. Motions to compel written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 must be filed by **August 18, 2021**.

Note: A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge to set a conference for discussing the parties' dispute.

- 3) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):

For the defendant(s):

December 28, 2021

January 28, 2022

- 4) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures¹ for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| For the plaintiff(s): | February 9, 2022 |
| For the defendant(s): | February 23, 2022 |
| Rebuttal: | April 4, 2022 |

- 5) The fact deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **December 1, 2021**.

The expert deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **June 1, 2022**.

- a. The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is 25.
 - b. Depositions will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).
- 6) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **July 12, 2022**.
- 7) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **July 12, 2022**.
- 8) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- 9) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 7th day of June, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered “specially retained experts,” not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert’s treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.