David Bollinger v. William Gittere, et al.	, et al.	
	Docu	

	Case 2:98-cv-01263-MMD-BNW Document 3	46 Filed 04/10/23 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
5	* * *		
6	DAVID BOLLINGER ,	Case No. 2:98-cv-01263-MMD-BNW	
7	Petitioner,		
8	V.	ORDER	
9	WILLIAM GITTERE, <i>et al.</i> ,		
10	Respondents.		
11			
12	In this capital habeas corpus action, on February 24, 2023, the Court granted		
13	Respondents' motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 333), vacated the order granting		
14	Petitioner David Bollinger relief from judgment (ECF No. 279), reinstated the judgment		
15	entered on March 5, 2015 (ECF No. 244), vacated the order granting Bollinger leave to		

entered on March 5, 2015 (ECF No. 244), vacated the order granting Bollinger leave to
amend his third amended petition to include his Claim 7D (ECF No. 279), ordered that
Bollinger's third amended habeas petition will no longer be treated as amended to include
Claim 7D, and denied Bollinger a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 336.) On March
24, 2023, Bollinger filed a motion to alter or amend judgment under Rule 59(e) or, in the
alternative, motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 337), and a motion for relief from
judgment under Rule 60(b) (ECF No. 339) ("March 24 motions"). Respondents were due
to respond to Bollinger's March 24 motions by April 7, 2023.

On April 7, 2023, Respondents filed two motions for extension of time (ECF Nos.
344, 345), requesting that the time for them to respond to Bollinger's March 24 motions
be extended by 28 days, to May 5, 2023. Respondents' counsel states that the extensions
of time are necessary because of time he has been away from his office on previously
scheduled leave, and because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents' counsel

28

represents that Bollinger, who is represented by appointed counsel, does not oppose the
 motions for extension of time.

The Court finds that Respondents' motions for extension of time are made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extensions of time requested.

6 It is therefore ordered that Respondents' Motions for Enlargement of Time (ECF
7 Nos. 344, 345) are granted. Respondents will have until and including May 5, 2023, to file
8 their responses to Petitioner's motion to alter or amend judgment under Rule 59(e) or, in
9 the alternative, motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 337), and motion for relief from
10 judgment under Rule 60(b) (ECF No. 339).

DATED THIS 10th Day of April 2023.

MIRANDA M. DU CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE