

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WILLIAM WITTER,

Petitioner,

2:01-CV-1034-RCJ-CWH

vs.

**MINUTES OF THE COURT**

RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

October 28, 2014

Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE           ROBERT C. JONES          , UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK:           JAMES R. BARKLEY                REPORTER:           NONE APPEARING          

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:           NONE APPEARING          

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS:           NONE APPEARING          

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:           XXX          

Respondents, through counsel, have filed a motion for enlargement of time within which to file and serve their response to petitioner’s motion to alter or amend judgment (ECF No. 250). ECF No. 258. The court finds that respondents’ request is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay. In addition, respondents’ counsel represents to the court that opposing counsel does not oppose the request. Good cause appearing,

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that respondents’ motion for enlargement of time (ECF No. 258) is GRANTED. Respondents shall file their response to petitioner’s motion to alter or amend judgment (ECF No. 250) on or before **November 10, 2014**. Respondents’ prior motion for enlargement of time (ECF No. 254) is DENIED as moot.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for leave to file excess pages (ECF No. 249) is GRANTED *nunc pro tunc* as of September 9, 2014.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By:     /s/ James R. Barkley      
Deputy Clerk