Atkins v. Filson, et al. Doc. 216 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 STERLING ATKINS, 10 Petitioner, 2:02-cv-01348-JCM-PAL 11 VS. **ORDER** 12 TIMOTHY FILSON et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 16 In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents were due to file their answer by 17 November 27, 2017. See Order entered September 28, 2017 (ECF No. 214). 18 On November 27, 2017, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 215), 19 requesting a 77-day extension, to February 12, 2018, for their answer. Respondents' counsel states 20 that the extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in other cases. The petitioner does 21 not oppose the extension of time. The Court finds that respondents' motion for extension of time is 22 made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the 23 requested extension of time. 24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 215) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have until and including **February 12, 2018**, to 25 26 file their answer.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
2	proceedings set forth in the order entered August 10, 2015 (ECF No. 167) shall remain in effect.
3	
4	Dated November 28, 2017.
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	