UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
* * *		
VIN JAMES LISLE,	Case No. 2:03-cv-1006-MMD-CWH	
Petitioner,	ORDER	
V.		
LLIAM GITTERE, et al.,		
Respondents.		
Petitioner in this capital habeas corpus action, Kevin James Lisle, filed a document		
pro se on January 7, 2019 (ECF No. 321) and another on February 19, 2019 (ECF No.		
327). Those documents have been filed under seal. On March 6, 2019, Respondents filed		
a motion (ECF No. 334) requesting that Lisle's two pro se filings be unsealed. Lisle was		
to file his response to Respondents' motion by March 20, 2019. (See Order entered March		
7, 2019 (ECF No. 338); LR 7-2(b).)		
On March 20, 2019, Lisle filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 339),		
requesting a 21-day extension of time to April 10, 2019, to respond to the motion to unseal		
documents. Lisle's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his		
other responsibilities. Respondents responded to the motion for extension of time on		
March 26, 2019 (ECF No. 340) indicating that they do not oppose the extension of time		
requested by Lisle, but requesting that, if it is granted, they be granted at least 21 days to		
file their reply in support of the motion to unseal documents. Lisle filed a reply in support		
of his motion for extension of time on March 26, 2019 (ECF No. 341).		
The Court finds that both Lisle's and Respondents' requests for extensions of time		
are made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good		
cause for the extensions.		
It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 339)		
is granted. Petitioner will have until April 10, 2019, to respond to the motion to unseal		
	VIN JAMES LISLE, V. LIAM GITTERE, et al., Petitioner in this capital habeas corpus se on January 7, 2019 (ECF No. 321) a Those documents have been filed under toton (ECF No. 334) requesting that Lister toton (ECF No. 338); LR 7-2(b).) On March 20, 2019, Lisle filed a more setting a 21-day extension of time to April uments. Lisle's counsel states that the ex- r responsibilities. Respondents respondents the provide the motion to unserver the 26, 2019 (ECF No. 340) indicating the setted by Lisle, but requesting that, if it is heir reply in support of the motion to unserver s motion for extension of time on March The Court finds that both Lisle's and F made in good faith and not solely for the set for the extensions. It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's	

1	documents (ECF No. 334). Respondents will the	en have 30 days to file a reply in support
2	of their motion.	
3	DATED THIS 26 th day of March 2019.	
4		
5		
6		MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	
	II	