1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 \* \* \* 7 IN RE WESTERN STATES WHOLESALE MDL Docket No. 1566 NATURAL GAS ANTITRUST 8 LITIGATION, Base Case No. 2:03-cv-01431-RCJ-PAL 9 **ORDER** THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 10 (Mot Compel – ECF No. 2439) **ALL ACTIONS** (Mot for Leave to Clarify – ECF No. 2492) 11 12 This matter is before the court on Defendants E Prime Energy Marketing, Inc. and Xcel 13 Energy Inc.'s Motion to Compel Responses to Contention Interrogatories (ECF No. 2439). This 14 motion is referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and LR IB 1-3 and 1-15 7 of the Local Rules of Practice. On June 30, 2016, the court held a hearing on this motion. The 16 court has considered the Motion, Plaintiff's Response (ECF No. 2459), E Prime Energy 17 Marketing, Inc. and Xcel Energy Inc's Reply (ECF No. 2481), Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to 18 File Surreply to Clarify the Record (ECF No. 2492), Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' 19 Surreply (ECF No. 2504) and the arguments of counsel at the hearing and has carefully reviewed 20 the voluminous discovery requests and responses at issue in the motion. 21 IT IS ORDERED Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Surreply to Clarify the Record 22 (ECF No. 2492) is **GRANTED.** 23 IT IS ORDERED that Defendants E Prime Energy Marketing, Inc. and Xcel Energy 24 Inc.'s Motion to Compel Responses to Contention Interrogatories (ECF No. 2439) is 25 **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as follows: 26 Defendants' request to compel Plaintiffs to provide page and line citations to 27 specific portions of the 84 deposition transcripts upon which they rely for their 28 responses to Interrogatory Nos. 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
_	_	

42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, and 60 is DENIED.

- 2. Plaintiffs shall have until **August 29, 2016** to supplement their answers to interrogatories concerning their wash trading and false reporting contentions as clarified in the surreply so that defendants have a discovery response signed under penalty of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(g) for use in discovery, motion practice and trial, i.e., answers to interrogatories 29-33, 48-50 & 59.
- 3. Plaintiffs shall have until **August 29, 2016** to provide full and completes answers to Interrogatory Nos. 61, 62, 63, and 64. Plaintiffs' objections, including the objections that the interrogatories seek information protected by the attorney client privilege are overruled.

DATED this 15th day of August, 2016.

PEGGY A LEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE