

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 \* \* \*

5 WILLIAM P. CASTILLO,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

9 Respondents.  
10  
11

Case No. 2:04-cv-00868-RCJ-EJY

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 262)**

12  
13 In this capital habeas corpus action, on May 25, 2021, the petitioner, William P.  
14 Castillo, represented by appointed counsel, filed his opening brief on remand (ECF No.  
15 255). Then, after a 60-day initial period, a 60-day extension, another 60-day extension,  
16 and a 62-day extension, Respondents were due on January 24, 2022, to file their  
17 responding brief. See Order entered January 25, 2021 (ECF No. 252) (60 days for  
18 responding brief); Order entered September 8, 2021 (ECF No. 257) (60-day extension);  
19 Order entered October 21, 2021 (ECF No. 259) (60-day extension); Order entered  
20 December 28, 2021 (ECF No. 261) (62-day extension).

21 On January 24, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No.  
22 262), requesting a further 60-day extension of time, to March 25, 2022, to file their  
23 responding brief on remand. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is  
24 necessary because of her obligations in other cases, time away from her office, and  
25 personal medical reasons. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.  
26 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely  
27 for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for the extension of time.  
28

