UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * PERCY LAVAE BACON, Case No. 2:05-cv-01267-JCM-GWF Plaintiff, **ORDER** v. STEPHEN C. WEBSTER, et al., Defendants. This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Application for Leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 60), filed on January 25, 2018. Also before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 61), filed on January 25, 2018.

BACKGROUND

II ..

28 ||

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff requests permission to proceed in forma pauperis. To proceed in forma pauperis, an incarcerated or institutionalized plaintiff must submit an in forma pauperis application to the Court, accompanied by a financial certificate from the institution and a copy of the plaintiff's prison account statement showing the current balance of the account. LSR 1-1, 1-2. Plaintiff failed to submit a financial certificate and copy of his prison account statement. The Court, therefore, denies his application to proceed in forma pauperis.

Should Plaintiff decide to reapply for in forma pauperis status, he is notified that he will still be required to pay a total amount of \$400.00, which will be deducted from his prison account in installments. Pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the District Court is required to assess a fee where a prisoner is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a civil action and the prison officials are required to collect and remit the money to the Court. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)-(2). If the plaintiff does not have \$400.00, the plaintiff will be required to pay either 20% of the average monthly balance or 20% of the average monthly deposits, whichever is greater. Furthermore, the plaintiff will be required to pay installments of 20% of the preceding month's deposits to the account in months that the account balance exceeds \$10.00.

Plaintiff further requests appointment of counsel. There is no constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in civil cases. Ivey v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Alaska, 673 F.2d 266, 269 (9th Cir. 1982). In determining whether counsel should be appointed, the court has discretion to consider three relevant factors: (1) the plaintiff's financial resources; (2) the efforts made by the plaintiff to secure counsel; (3) the meritoriousness of the plaintiff's claim; and (4) the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Id. Plaintiff has not presented sufficient evidence to persuade this Court to appoint counsel to represent him. Accordingly,

. . .

. . .

. . .

. .

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Application for Leave to proceed In Forma
2	Pauperis (ECF No. 60) is denied .
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF
4	No. 61) is denied .
5	Dated this 27th day of August, 2018.
6	
7	GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	