

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

1st Technology LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
IQ-Ludorum PLC, et al.  
Defendant(s).

Case # 2:06-cv-0323-LDG-RJJ

VERIFIED PETITION FOR  
PERMISSION TO PRACTICE  
IN THIS CASE ONLY BY  
ATTORNEY NOT ADMITTED  
TO THE BAR OF THIS COURT

EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2004  
FILING FEE IS \$175.00

William M. Gantz, Petitioner, respectfully represents to the Court:

1. That Petitioner resides at 1742 N. Wilmot,  
(street address)  
Chicago, Cook  
(city) (county)  
Illinois, 60601, (773) 486-3212  
(state) (zip code) (area code + telephone number)

CAUTION: DO NOT REVISE OR RETYPE THIS FORM

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. That Petitioner is an attorney at law and a member of the law firm of DLA  
Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP with offices at  
203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900,  
(street address)  
Chicago, 60601, (312) 368-4000  
(city) (zip code) (area code + telephone number)

3. That Petitioner has been retained personally or as a member of the law firm by  
IQ-Ludorum PLC to provide legal representation in connection with  
[client(s)]  
the above-entitled case now pending before this Court.

4. That since November 1990, Petitioner has been and presently is a member  
(date)  
in good standing of the bar of the highest Court of the State of Illinois  
(state)  
where Petitioner regularly practices law.

5. That Petitioner was admitted to practice before the following United States District  
Courts, United States Circuit Courts of Appeal, the Supreme Court of the United States and Courts  
of other States on the dates indicated for each, and that Petitioner is presently a member in good  
standing of the bars of said Courts.

	Date Admitted
Supreme Court of State of IL	November 1990
U.S. Dist. Ct., No. Dist. IL	December 1990
U.S. Dist. Ct., No. Dist. CA	July 1991
U.S. Dist. Ct., E. D. WI	May 1999
U. S. Dist. Ct., No. Dist of IN	September 2000
U.S. Dist. Ct. E.D. MI	March 2006
U.S. Court of Appeals (7th Cir.)	April 2004

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

6. That there are or have been no disciplinary proceedings instituted against petitioner, nor any suspension of any license, certificate or privilege to appear before any judicial, regulatory or administrative body, or any resignation or termination in order to avoid disciplinary or disbarment proceedings, except as described in detail below:

N/A

7. That Petitioner has never been denied admission to the State Bar of Nevada. (Give particulars of ever denied admission):

N/A

8. That Petitioner is a member of good standing in the following Bar Associations:

Illinois (1990)

9. Petitioner or any member of Petitioner's firm (or office if firm has offices in more than one city) with which Petitioner is associated has/have filed application(s) to appear as counsel under Local Rule IA 10-2 during the past three (3) years in the following matters:

Date of Application	Cause	Title of Court Administrative Body or Arbitrator	Was Application Granted or Denied
See attached sheets			

(If necessary, please attach a statement of additional applications)

1 10. Petitioner consents to the jurisdiction of the courts and disciplinary boards of the State  
2 of Nevada with respect to the law of this state governing the conduct of attorneys to the same extent  
3 as a member of the State Bar of Nevada.

4 11. Petitioner agrees to comply with the standards of professional conduct required of the  
5 members of the bar of this court.

6 12. Petitioner has disclosed in writing to the client that the applicant is not admitted to  
7 practice in this jurisdiction and that the client has consented to such representation.

8 That Petitioner respectfully prays that Petitioner be admitted to practice before this Court FOR  
9 THE PURPOSES OF THIS CASE ONLY.


*[Handwritten Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Petitioner's Signature

13 STATE OF ILLINOIS }  
14 COUNTY OF COOK }

15 William M. Gantz, Petitioner, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:  
16 That the foregoing statements are true.

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Petitioner's Signature

19 Subscribed and sworn to before me this  
20 2nd day of August, 2006  
21 *[Handwritten Signature]*  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
Notary public or Clerk of Court



23 APPROVED:  
24 Dated: this 7th day of Aug, 2006  
25 *[Handwritten Signature]*  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

William Gantz	Chicago	US District of NV	Scott Lewis v. Boss Media CV-S-03-0208	8/15/03	8/25/03	Granted – 8/28/03
Norman Leon	Chicago	US District of NV	Haig's v. Man Roland, Inc. CV-S-02-0838	12/3/02	12/9/02	Granted – 12/10/02
George Grumley	Chicago	USBC – District of NV BK-S-03-16905	In re Lake Trop Bankruptcy (for Equity Holders) BK-S-03-16905			
George Grumley	Chicago	USBC – District of NV BK-S-03-16905	In re Lake Trop Bankruptcy (for Equity Holders) BK-S-03-16905	3/3/04	3/4/04	Grant – 3/04/04
George Grumley	Chicago	USBC – District of NV BK-S-03-16905	In re Lake Trop Bankruptcy (for Lake Trop) BK-S-03-16905	8/07/03	8/7/03	
Peter Ellis	Chicago	NV 8 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District	Exposure Graphics v. Rapid Display A405434			Granted – 8/01
William Gantz	Chicago	NV- 8 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District	Exposure Graphics v. Rapid Display A405434			Granted
James Roberts	Chicago	NV – 8 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District	Exposure Graphics v. Rapid Display A405434			