



1 also found Defendant Pulse's infringement to be willful and awarded monetary  
2 damages.

3 The Court finds the evidence adduced at trial clearly supports the verdicts  
4 returned by the jury and therefore concludes that Defendant Pulse's Motions for  
5 Judgment as a Matter of Law (Doc. #444, #445, #455), must be denied.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Halo's Counter Motion for  
8 Judgment as a Matter of Law (Doc. #461) is DENIED as moot in view of the  
9 verdicts of the jury.

10 DATED: March 11, 2013.

11 

12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 PHILIP M. PRO  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26